S	ε	\$ EXHIBIT <u>27</u>
DATE:	9-	11-06

APPLICATION NO. 54007

		
PROTESTED BY	DATE	
THE CITY OF CALIENTE	07/20/90	4
CRANE, DIANA BARCLAY	07/16/90	-
KIRKEBY RANCH	07/12/90	-
ELDRIDGE, ELVA J.	07/11/90	┥
LAS VEGAS FLY FISHING CLUB	07/11/90	-
LINDLEY, JANE	07/11/90	W/0 12-31-90
U.S. GOVERNMENT, BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT	07/11/90	1 / 1 / 1 / 1
EASTERN UNIT, NEVADA CATTLEMEN'S ASSOCIATION	07/10/90	1
EL TEJON CATTLE COMPANY	07/09/90	-
HARBECKE, ROBERT L. and FERN A.	07/09/90	1
HILL, BUNNY R.	07/09/90	1
NEUBAUER, DEAN G.	07/09/90	1
REED, DUANE	07/09/90	†
SCHROEDER, MARK	07/09/90	
SPROUSE, KAREN	07/09/90	1
THE COUNTY OF WHITE PINE and THE CITY OF ELY	07/09/90	
THE MOAPA BAND OF PAIUTE INDIANS	07/09/90	wld 4-14-06
U.S. FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE	07/09/90	0-303
COUNTY OF NYE	07/06/90	
LINCOLN COUNTY, BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS	07/06/90	w/07-16-07
U.S. DEPT. OF INT., NATIONAL PARK SERVICE	07/06/90	
THE UNINCORPORATED TOWN OF PAHRUMP	07/05/90	,
		•
		•



CITY OF CALIENTE

P.O. BOX 158

CALIENTE, NEVADA 89008

(702) 726-3132

July 19, 1990

R. Michael Turnipseed, P.E. State Engineer Division of Water Resources 201 S. Fall Street Carson City, NV 89710

Dear Mr. Turnipseed:

It has come to our attention that in protesting the Las Vegas Valley Water District water rights applications, we have made a typographical error.

I hereby request that you transfer the extra protest we submitted on Application #54013 to Application #54007.

I appreciate your assistance and apologize for any inconvenience this may have caused.

Sincerely,

George T. Row

layor

In the Matter of Application Number 54013,07 Filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District on October 17, 1989, to appropriate the waters of White Pine County.

• N %

PROTEST

Comes now THE CITY OF CALIENTE whose post office address is POST OFFICE BOX 158, CALIENTE, NEVADA 89008 whose occupation is MUNICIPALITY/WATER PURVEYOR, and protest the granting of Application Number 54023, filed on October 17, 1989 by the Las Vegas Valley Water District to appropriate the waters of underground situated in White Pine County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons and on the following grounds, to wit:

(See Attachment)

THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application be DENIED and that an order be entered for such relief as the State Engineer deems just and proper.

Signed

Address P.O. Box 158

Caliente, Nevada 89008

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9th day of

July , 1990.

0

County of Lincoln

APPLICATION NO. 54007

LIST OF REASONS TO PROTEST THE LAS VEGAS VALLEY WATER DISTRICT APPLICATIONS TO APPROPRIATE GROUND AND SURFACE WATER FROM CENTRAL, EASTERN AND SOUTHERN NEVADA

- 1. This Application is one of 145 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate 804,195 acre feet of ground water primarily for municipal use within Clark County. Diversion and export of such quantity of water will: lower the static water level in Spring Valley Basin; adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water; and further threaten springs, seeps and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife and grazing livestock.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and existing uses in the Spring Valley Basin will exceed the annual recharge and safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will: lower the static water level and degrade the quality of water from existing wells and cause negative hydraulic gradient influences as well as other negative impacts.
- 3. This Application is one of 146 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of some 864,195 acre feet of ground and surface water primarily for municipal use in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the area of origin of the water needed to protect and enhance its environment and economic well being, and the diversion will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, cost considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and a water resource plan (such as is required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water) for the Las Vegas Valley Water District Service area is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 5. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would conflict with or tend to impair existing rights in the Spring Valley Basin because if granted it would exceed the safe yield of the subject basin and unreasonably lower the static water level and sanction water mining.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it, individually and together with the other applications of the water importation project, would:
 - (a) Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered

and threatened species recognized under the federal Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;

- (b) Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
- (c) Take or harm those endangered or threatened species; and
- (d) Interfere with the purpose for which the federal lands are managed under federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- 7. The approval of the subject application will sanction and encourage the willful waste of water that has been allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 8. The subject Application seeks to develop and transport water resources on and across lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management. This application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained necessary legal interest (e.g., right-of-way) in the federal land such that the applicant may extract, develop and transport water resources from the proposed point of diversion to the proposed place of use.
- 9. The Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water importation project will perpetuate and may increase the inefficient use of water in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area and frustrate efforts at water demand management in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 10. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability for developing and transporting water under the subject permit which is a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use.
- 11. The above-referenced Application should be denied because it fails to include the statutorily required:
 - (a) Description of the place of use;
 - (b) Description of the proposed works;
 - (c) The estimated cost of such works; and
 - (d) The estimated time required to put the subject water to beneficial use.
- 12. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the proposed project will exceed the safe yield of the Spring Valley Basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and creating air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal

Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.

. . .

- 13. The Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to safeguard the public interest properly. The adverse effects of this Application and related applications associated with the proposed water appropriation and transportation project (largest appropriation of ground water in the history of the State of Nevada) cannot properly be evaluated without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - (a) cumulative impacts of the proposed extraction;
 - (b) mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extraction;
 - (c) alternatives to the proposed extraction, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and aggressive implementation of all proven and cost-effective water demand management strategies.
- 14. The subject application should be denied because the population projects upon which the water demand projections are based are unrealistic and ignore numerous constraints to growth, including traffic congestion, increased costs of infrastructure and services, degraded air quality, etc.
- 15. The subject application should be denied because previous and current conservation programs instituted by the Las Vegas Valley Water District are ineffective public-relations oriented efforts that are unlikely to achieve substantial water savings. Public policy and public interest considerations should preclude the negative environmental and socio-economic consequences of the proposed transfers on areas of origin when the potential water importer has failed to make a good-faith effort to efficiently use currently available supplies.
- 16. The subject Application should be denied because the enormous costs of the project will result in water rate increases of such magnitude that demand will be substantially reduced, thereby rendering the water transfer unnecessary.
- 17. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental tot he public interest and not made in good faith since it would allow the Las Vegas Valley Water District to lock up vital water resources for possible use sometime in the distant future beyond current planning horizons.
- 18. The subject Application should be denied because current and developing trends in housing, landscaping, national plumbing fixture standards and demographic patterns all suggest that the simplistic water demand forecasts upon which the proposed transfers are based substantially overstate future water demand needs.

19. The subject application should be denied because the current per capita water consumption rate for the Las Vegas Valley Water District is double that of similarly situated southwestern municipalities. This suggests enormous potential for more cost-effective supply alternatives, including demand management and effluent re-use. These alternatives have not been seriously considered by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.

- 20. Inasmuch as a water extraction and transbasin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further information and study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they may develop as a result of further information and study.
- 21. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the subject application filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.

RECEIVED

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION NUMBER 5400	27		JUL 1	2 1990
Filed BYLas Vegas Valley Water [District	PROTEST	Div, of Water	
ON October 17 19.89, TO APPROPR	RIATE THE		Branch Office :	M YOUNG IN
Watersof Spring Valley Basin				
	•			
Comes now Diana Barclay Cran	Printed o	r typed name of protestant	*************************************	************************
whose post office address is 1712 Ferrel S	t. Las V	egas. Nevada	89106	
whose occupation is graphic artist	Sireel N	o. or P.O. Box, City, State and	Zip Code	***************************************
of Application Number 54007 fi	iled on Oct	ober 17, 1989	and protes	its the grantin
by Las Vegas Valley Water Dis	trict			4,
c Shring Waller D	ped name of applica		White Die	
Underground or name of steeper July	e, spring or other so	urce situat	ed in	e
County, State of Nevada, for the following reas	sons and on	the following grounds	, to wit:	المحاسم
		***************************************	See Att	acned
			. /	. ,
	*************************	************************************	***************************************	·
	*******************	Proposition		
	***************************************	·		

			4 2	*******************
			. <u> </u>	************************
	******************************	***************************************	** A	***************************************
THEREFORE the protection	-	a	2	
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the		(Denied jeguad subi-	t to prior rights, etc., as the ca	
and that an order be entered for such relief as the	he State Engi	neer deems just and p	ortoper. 🤶	inay be,
				:
· S	Signed	Iana Ba Agent or pr	rclay Cr	ane
·	Dia	na Barclay Cra		
	Address 1711	Printed or typed r 2 Ferrel St	name, if agent	***************************************
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Street No. or P.		***************
. •••	Las	Vegas, Nevada City, State and Z	1 89106 ip Code No.	************************
	4			i /
Subscribed and sworn to before me this.	2	P	0-	
and sworn to before me this 22	day of	19	9.40	
	10/	the of)	
	Jet Marie	Notary Pi	ublic	·····
JANNETTE K. COX	tate of	Coda		***************************************
Moterry Public - State of Mevada Appointment Recorded in Clark County	County of	lack		
MY APPOINTMENT EXPIRES JAN. 24, 1994				

\$10 FILING FEE MUST ACCOMPAN	NY PROTFS	T PDATECT MARCON	DO FOR YOUR AND THE	
ALL COPIES MU	UST CONTA	IN ORIGINAL SIGN	SEFILED IN DU NATURE.	PLICATE.

This application is one of the 146 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate 804, 195 acre feet of ground and surface water primarily for municipal use within Clark County.

I protest this application because of major concerns: 1. The water is not available for appropriation. 2. The water will not be put to good use.

3. It will not serve or benefit the public interest.

This application #54007 is for water that is not available for diversion and export. It will severly deprive Spring Valley Basin of the water necessary to maintain and protect its ecology.

Spring Valley Basin is home for the Swamp Cedar and Spring Valley Pupfish. Both species are extremely rare and uniquely indigenous. Survival of both depends on the water quality and levels that currently exist - they cannot tollerate less!!

I am concerned also for the Great Basin National Park. Its streams and pools will disappear if the water tables are lowered. This will adversely affect all animal and plant life and destroy a National Heritage. It is what the Federal Government and the State of Nevada holds in trust for all its citizens. We trust them to maintain and protect the environment, the ecology, the scenic and recreational values. I compel you and the National Protection Agency to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement. The application #54007 should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the proposed project will exceed the safe yield of the Spring Valley Basin and the Great Basin National Park, thereby adversely affecting their Riparian Zones and phreatophytes. This would be permanent environmental damage that will create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.

The granting or approval of the above referenced application would also be detrimental to public interest in that it, individually and together with other applications of rhe water project would: 1. Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the federal Endangered Species Act and related state statutes; 2. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species; 3. Take or harm those endangered or threatened species; 4. Interfere with the purpose for which the federal lands are managed under federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.

Any temporary mining of water is also unacceptable, due to excessive waste of water that is currently exhibited and without forseen change. The application #54007 should be denied because the population projections upon which the water demand projections are based, are unrealistic and ignore numerous constraints to growth, including traffic congestion, increased cost of infrastructure and services, degraded air quality, coupled with an uncertain economic base dependent on gaming tourism.

The subject application should be denied because the current per capita water consumption rate for the Las Vegas Valley Water District is double that of similarly situated southwestern municipalities. This suggests enormous potential for more cost-effective supply alternatives, including demand management and effluent re-use. These solutions have not been seriously considered by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.

The subject application should be denied because previous and current conservation programs instituted by the Las Vegas Valley Water District are ineffective. Public policy and public interest considerations should preclude the negative environmental and socio-economic consequences of the proposed transfers on areas of origin when the potential water importer has failed to make a good-faith effort to efficiently use currently available

supplies.

The granting or approving of the subjectApplication in the absense of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, cost considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and a water resource plan (such as is required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water) for the Las Vegas Valley Water District Service area, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.

It will benefit the public best to conserve existing water demands

starting at home, as I have done.

Conservation, coupled with recycling of water, as has been implemented in other areas of the Southwest and West, could support a population four-times our present number. This with water resources available now and without additional rural water.

Leave the rural water alone as it ultimately flows to the growth center The rural water is the source of springs and artisian wells that surface here, and that first gave travelers and settlers their survival. The rural counties of Eastern Nevada have valueable natural scenic and wildlife resources. They are the closest area for recreation outside the urban area. As the population of the Las Vegas Valley grows, the demand for these resources can be expected to increase, now is not the time to reduce the flowing or impounded waters that are recreational resources and scenic vistas. s well as wilderness areas. Let us all enjoy Nevada, its splendors and versities now and for all generations to come.

Inasmuch as a water extraction & transbasin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further information and study. To safeguard the public interest properly - this project cannot be evauated without an independent, formal, and public reviewable assessment. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they may develop as a result of further information and study.

The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the subject application filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION NUMBER 54 FILED BY Las Vegas Valley Water Distriction October 17 , 1989, TO APPROPRIA	ict,	PROTEST	
WATERS OF Underground Sources			
Comes now Richard W. Form: whose post office address is S.R. 5, Box 21, whose occupation is Ranching of Application Number 54007	Ely, Nevada Street No. or P. O.	89301 Bext, City, State and Zip Code	
by the Las Vegas Valley Water District			to appropriate
Printed or types	d name of applicant		
waters of <u>Underground Sources</u> Underground or name of stream, lake, spring or o			White Pine
County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons	and on the follow	ing grounds, to wit:	
Please See Attachments.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the	he application be	DENIED	
and that an order be entered for such relief as the Sta	ute Engineer deem	(Denied, listed subject to prior	rights, etc., as the case may be)
		as just and proper.	
	Signed	Kulor	2
	Name	Richard W. Forma Printed or typed name, I	n. Agent
	Address	P. O. Box 150	
	Address	Street No. or P. O. Elv. Nevada 89301	Box Ne.
	11001000	City, State and Zip	Code No.
,Q4.			
7)			
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	day of	July	, 19 <u>90</u> .
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	day of	July Jan Sh	, 19 <u>90</u> .
RENEE E. KNUTSON	day of	July Jense S. 12 Notary Public	, 19 <u>90</u> .
	day of	July Jenes S. Ja Notary Public Nevada	, 19 <u>90</u> . nedso



- The granting of this application, in conjunction with any other applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District in this basin, will impair, conflict and interfere with all existing water rights, sources and uses.
- 2. If granted, the allocation of ALL unappropriated waters in this ground water basin would adversely affect all agricultural operations, including but not limited to the following:
 - a. It will adversely affect the economic welfare of all farms and ranches.
 - b. It will destroy the environmental balance by eliminating the natural surface moistures and reducing the humidity levels which creates the natural growing environment of the surrounding areas, thereby destroying the grazing lands, wetlands and farm lands.
 - c. It will halt all potential agricultural growth.
 - d. It will destroy each agricultural operation because they will be unable to continue to operate or expand.
- 3. Eastern Nevada has had severe drought conditions for the past three (3) years which has created the following hardships on all cattlemen:
 - a. The grazing areas do not have sufficient feed to support the cattle.
 - b. The surface waters are insufficient for irrigation and stockwatering.
 - c. The water tables are lowering making it very difficult and expensive to pump any water.
 - d. The cattlemen will have to cut their herds, which affects the economic welfare of everyone within the State of Nevada, especially the surrounding communities.

If the drought creates this many hardships, the continual removal of the periennial yield by the Las Vegas Valley Water District WILL destroy all ranching operations as well as the whole environment of each basin.

- 4. There are different flow systems that underlie the State of Nevada. "These flow systems link the ground water beneath many of the hydrolgic basins over distances greater than 200 miles. The implications of this linkage are immense. While the water taken from a basin may be within the perennial yield of that basin, areas as far away as 200 miles may experience drawdown, and the negative impacts associated with this phenomenon (Intertech Consultants, Inc. 1990).
- Clark County must grow only within the limits of their natural resources or the environmental and socioeconomic balance of the State of Nevada will be destroyed.
- 6. The State Engineer must consider all of the future environmental and socioeconomic ramifications of the trans-basin transfer of ground waters in order to protect the State of Nevada by not allowing these transfers.
- 7. The State Engineer has a responsibility to all of the people of Nevada and must consider all adverse affects which the granting of these applications will have on all areas in the State of Nevada.

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 5. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:
 - a. Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- 8. The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - Description of proposed works;
 - The estimated cost of such works;
 - The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and renot properly be determined with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project canment of:
 - a. cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - b. mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives
 of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD
 service area.
- The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

STATE ENGINEERS OFFILL

.30 TIT II 65:52

In the Matter of Application Number 5400	<u>07</u> ,
FILED BY Las Vegas Valley Water District	
ON October 17, 1989, to Appropriate	} PROTEST
Waters of Underground Sources	
	
Comes now Richard W. Forman	. Agent for Elva J. Eldridge
whose post office address is <u>S.R. 1, Box 42AA</u> ,	Ely, Nevada 89301
whose occupation is Rancher	Street No. or P. O. Box, City, State and Zip Code and protests the granting
of Application Number 54007	-
by the Las Vegas Valley Water District Printed or typed nar	to appropriate th
waters of Underground Sources	eitusted in White Dine
Underground or name of stream, lake, spring or other	situated in White Pine
County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons and	on the following grounds, to wit:
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Please See Attachments,	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the a	application beDENIED
and that an order be entered for such relief as the State	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case may be)
	Signed Agent or Protectant
	Name Richard W. Forman, Agent
	Printed or typed name, if agent Address P. O. Box 150
	Street No. or P. O. Box No.
	Address Ely, Nevada 89301 City, State and Zip Code No.
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	day ofJuly 19 90
	day of <u>July</u> , 19 <u>90</u> .
RENEE E. KNUTSON	_ Genee & Fanutson
Notary Public - State of Nevada Appointment Recorded in White Pine County ANY ADDOMINISTIC CYPRICE DEC. 44 4000	State ofNevada
MY APPOINTMENT EXPIRES DEC. 14, 1982	County of White Pine

- The granting of this application, in conjunction with any other applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District in this basin, will impair, conflict and interfere with all existing water rights, sources and uses.
- 2. If granted, the allocation of ALL unappropriated waters in this ground water basin would adversely affect all agricultural operations, including but not limited to the following:
 - a. It will adversely affect the economic welfare of all farms and ranches.
 - b. It will destroy the environmental balance by eliminating the natural surface moistures and reducing the humidity levels which creates the natural growing environment of the surrounding areas, thereby destroying the grazing lands, wetlands and farm lands.
 - c. It will halt all potential agricultural growth.
 - d. It will destroy each agricultural operation because they will be unable to continue to operate or expand.
- 3. Eastern Nevada has had severe drought conditions for the past three (3) years which has created the following hardships on all cattlemen:
 - a. The grazing areas do not have sufficient feed to support the cattle.
 - b. The surface waters are insufficient for irrigation and stockwatering.
 - c. The water tables are lowering making it very difficult and expensive to pump any water.
 - d. The cattlemen will have to cut their herds, which affects the economic welfare of everyone within the State of Nevada, especially the surrounding communities.

If the drought creates this many hardships, the continual removal of the periennial yield by the Las Vegas Valley Water District WILL destroy all ranching operations as well as the whole environment of each basin.

- 4. There are different flow systems that underlie the State of Nevada. "These flow systems link the ground water beneath many of the hydrolgic basins over distances greater than 200 miles. The implications of this linkage are immense. While the water taken from a basin may be within the perennial yield of that basin, areas as far away as 200 miles may experience drawdown, and the negative impacts associated with this phenomenon (Intertech Consultants, Inc. 1990).
- 5. Clark County must grow only within the limits of their natural resources or the environmental and socioeconomic balance of the State of Nevada will be destroyed.
- 6. The State Engineer must consider all of the future environmental and socioeconomic ramifications of the trans-basin transfer of ground waters in order to protect the State of Nevada by not allowing these transfers.
- 7. The State Engineer has a responsibility to all of the people of Nevada and must consider all adverse affects which the granting of these applications will have on all areas in the State of Nevada.

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 5. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:
 - Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - a. Description of proposed works;
 - b. The estimated cost of such works:
 - The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and related applications associated with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project cannot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - a. cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - c. alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD service area.
- 15. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

STATE ENGINEERS OFFILT

90 JU -9 PA:29

In the Matter of Application Number 54007	1	•
FILED BY LAS VEGAS WATER DISTRICT		
ON Oct 17 19 89, TO APPROPRIATE THE	PROTEST	RECEIVED
TO APPROPRIATE THE	\	JUL 06 1990
WATERS OF Spring Valley Basin	1	Div. of Water Resources Branch Office - Les Yeges, NV.
Comes now LAS VEGAS FLY F	ISHING CIUR	
Printe	d or typed name of protestant	- 411/50.17
whose post office address is 2728 Tidewater	Ct. Las Vega.	5 / 10 / 8-9 (1 /) Code
whose occupation is NON-PROFIT EDUCATION A	> 1 -	Skol, and protests the granting
of Application Number 54007, filed on	OC+ 17	19 89
by Las Vegas WATER DISTR	(CT	to appropriate the
vaters of Spring Valley Basin Underground or name of suran, lake, spring or othe	situate	d in White Pine
County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons and o		to wit:
SEE ATTACHED	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	·	

<u> </u>	***************************************	

	***************************************	***************************************

	7 - 1	
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application	on be DEN 14	t fo prior rights, etc., as the case may be)
and that an order be entered for such relief as the State E		
		11 +1
Signed	Agent or pro	olestant Olestant
JAME	S E. WATKINS	President Laskegas
Address	2728 Tide was	ter Ct.
·	Street No. or P.	0. Box No. 89117
	City, State and Z	ip Code No.
and a		
Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of	fally 1	9
h/a	1 1 (
41000000000000000000000000000000000000	Notary P	ublic
JANETTE K. COX Notary Public - State of Nevada	NW '	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Appointment Express JAN. 24, 1994 County of	Clark	

\$10 FILING FEE MUST ACCOMPANY PROTEST. PROTEST MUST BE FILED IN DUPLICATE.

ALL COPIES MUST CONTAIN ORIGINAL SIGNATURE.



PROTEST

The Las Vegas Fly Fishing Club protests water rights application number **54007**, in White Pine County, Nevada, Spring Valley Basin, filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District. The water rights should be denied based on the following provisions.

- 1. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and existing uses in the Virgin River Basin will exceed the annual recharge and safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use in this magnitude will sanction water mining and lower the static water level which will degrade the **quality** and quality of water in the Spring Valley Wash which will effect the reservoir and streams of Great Basin National Park, Echo Canyon Reservoir, Eagle Valley Reservoir, and Schroeder Reservoir.
- 2. This application is one of the applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriations of over 800,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water primarily for municipal use in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the area of origin of water needed to protect and enhance its environment and economic well being, and the diversion will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the state holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 3. In the cumulative areas being protested, the Las Vegas Fly Fishing Club has contributed in excess of \$150,000. through volunteer time and personal expenses; club funds; Southwest Council, Federation of Fly Fishers funds; and private donations of materials to improve fish and related habitat in the affected areas. This was done for the public interest and to protect the fragile water resources in the effected areas. The Las Vegas Valley Water District's mining of these resources will negate the recreational and fish habitat benefits provided through these voluntary contributions under Nevada Department of Wildlife directed projects.
- 4. In a report dated June 7,1990, the Reno Field Station of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service listed species as Endangered or Threatened and four species as candidates for Endangered or Threatened status. The endangerment or threat caused by degrading the water quality and/or quantity of this basin will extend the threat to any species that depends on the existent habitat. Therefore, no additional water can be mined from the area.

- 5. The granting or approving of the subject application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, cost considerations, socio-economic considerations, and a water resource plan (such as required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water) for the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above referenced application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it, individually and together with the other applications of the Las Vegas Valley Water District importation project, would:
- a. Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the federal Endangered Species Act and related state statutes. Two species of trout have become extinct and four other species of trout are candidates for extinction in the state of Nevada. The public interest will not be served if the state allows any more species of fish to become extinct.
- b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those Threatened or Endangered species.
 c. Take or harm those Threatened or Endangered species.
- 7. The approval of subject application will sanction and encourage the willful waste of water that has been allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District. For example, in March of 1990, vandals tampered with an automatic watering system in the green belt between Crane Lake and Swan River roads on Lake North Drive in the Las Vegas subdivision known as the Lakes. The damage included broken valves and sprinklers which were seen and reported to the Las Vegas Valley Water District on Friday night. The Las Vegas Valley Water District representative at the emergency phone number said that the water in the area was not their responsibility and they did not know who to call. The person reporting the damage made several other unsuccessful attempts to get help. The water ran unchecked into the street for 62 hours until Monday morning. It was apparent from the response that even though technically the water district was not involved, their lack of concern and failure to take any action demonstrated their policy towards waste of water.

- 8. The above referenced water rights, individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water import project, will perpetuate and may increase the inefficient use of water and frustrate efforts at water demand management in the in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 9. Previous and current conservation programs instituted by the Las Vegas Valley Water district are ineffective public relations—oriented efforts that are unlikely to achieve substantial water savings. Public policy and public interest considerations should preclude the negative environmental and socio—economic consequences of the proposed transfer of water resources on areas of origin when the potential water importer has failed to make a good—faith effort to efficiently use currently available supplies.
- 10. Therefore, The Las Vegas Fly Fishing Club, on behalf of the public good of all Nevada citizens and on behalf of the disastrous consequences on fish habitat that approval would have, requests that the above referenced water rights application be denied and that the order be entered by the state engineer to protect this water resource in perpetuity from water rights applications not in the public interest and detrimental to sound conservation practices. In addition, The Las Vegas Fly Fishing Club incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned application filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.

i e in in

In the Matter of Application Number 54007

	lstrict	PROTEST			
ONOctober 17,1989, TO APPR	OPBIATE TUE	PROTEST			
WATERS OF Underground Well	O KINIS INS	\			
WATERS OF	***************************************	1			
Comes now_U.S. Government, B	Bureau of L	and Manageme	nt		
	Printe	ed or typed name of pro	lestant	***************************************	
whose post office address is Star Route	Stree	et No. or P O Roy City	State and Tin Code	******************	**********
whose occupation is Land Management	Agency	***************************************	******************	, and protests	the grantin
of Application Number54007					
Underground Source (Well) Printed	d or typed name of ap	oplicant r	************************	lo app	ropriate th
waters of T. II N., R. 66 E., Sec. Underground or name of stream	m, lake, spring or oth	er source	situated in	White Pine	***********
County, State of Nevada, for the following	reasons and	on the following	grounds, to w	it:	
See Attachment for Applica					
		*****************************	******************************		*************
	******************************	****************************	************************	*********************	
		******************************	*******************************	************************	
***************************************	*****************************	************************			

	***************************************	**************************************	***************************************	*****************************	
	*******************************	***************************************			-
		***************************************			**********************
THEREFORE the protestant requests th	at the applicati	on be DEN	+ 1		
THEREFORE the protestant requests the		(Denied	, issued subject to prio	e rights, etc., as the case	may be)
		(Denied	, issued subject to prio	r rights, etc., as the case	may be)
	f as the State I	(Denied	, issued subject to prio	e rights, etc., as the case	may be)
	f as the State I	(Denied	issued subject to prio	·· Walka	may be)
	f as the State I	Engineer deems	issued subject to priority in the proper supplies and proper supplies and protestant ter, District		may be}
	f as the State I	Engineer deems Level aneth G. Walk Pri SR 5, Box 1	issued subject to priority in the property of the protestant cer, District mice or typed name, if		may be)
	SignedKen	Engineer deems Level aneth G. Walk Pri SR 5, Box 1	issued subject to prior just and proper Agent or protestant cer, Distric		may be)
THEREFORE the protestant requests the	SignedKen	Engineer deems	issued subject to priority in the property of the procession of th		may be)
	SignedKen	Engineer deems uneth G. Walk SR 5, Box 1 Ely, Nevada	issued subject to prior just and proper Agent or protestant cer, Distric		may be)
	SignedKen	Engineer deems uneth G. Walk SR 5, Box 1 Ely, Nevada	Agent or protestant cer, District name, if		may be)
and that an order be entered for such relief	Signed	Engineer deems uneth G. Walk SR 5, Box 1 Ely, Nevada	Agent or processant cer, District name, if	No.	may be)
and that an order be entered for such relief	Signed	Engineer deems uneth G. Walk SR 5, Box 1 Ely, Nevada	Agent or protestant cer, District name, if	No.	may be)
and that an order be entered for such relief	Signed	ineth G. Walk SR 5, Box 1 SEly, Nevada	Agent or protestant er, Distriction or typed name, if	No.	may be)
and that an order be entered for such relief	Signed Ken Address	Ineth G. Walk SR 5, Box 1 Ely, Nevada Gu July	issued subject to prior just and proper Agent or protestant cer, Distric mice or typed name, if freet No. or P.O. Box 189301 ity, State and Zip Code	No.	may be}
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	Signed Ken Address Address State of	Ineth G. Walk SR 5, Box 1 Ely, Nevada Gi July Mayabe	Agent or protestant cer, District No. or P.O. Box 89301 Ty, State and Zip Code Notary Public	No.	may be)
and that an order be entered for such relief	Signed Ken Address Address State of	Ineth G. Walk SR 5, Box 1 Ely, Nevada Gu July	Agent or protestant cer, District No. or P.O. Box 89301 Ty, State and Zip Code Notary Public	No.	may be)

S10 FILING FEE MUST ACCOMPANY PROTEST, PROTEST MUST BE FILED IN DUPLICATE, ALL COPIES MUST CONTAIN ORIGINAL SIGNATURE.

ATTACHMENT FOR FILING #54007

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM), United States Department of the Interior has been directed by Congress through law to protect and manage certain public lands of the Unites States. Specifically, Congress instructed the BLM in the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) "...that management be on the basis of multiple use and sustained yield...public lands be managed in a manner that will protect the quality of scientific, scenic, historical, ecological, environmental, air and atmospheric, water resource, and archeological values; that, where appropriate, will preserve and protect certain public lands in their natural condition; that will provide food and habitat for fish and wildlife and domestic animals; and that will provide for outdoor recreation and human occupancy and use..."

The multiple uses mentioned in FLPMA include, but are not limited, to recreation, range, timber, minerals, watershed, wildlife and fish, and natural scenic, scientific and historical values.

In addition to FLPMA, the Taylor Grazing Act, The Recreation and Public Purposes Act, The Wild and Free Roaming Horse and Burro Act, The Endangered Species Act, The Public Rangelands Improvement Act, The Water Resources Act, and various other laws give the BLM the authority to manage the public lands and their various resources so that they are utilized in the combination that will best meet the present and future needs of the American people.

The application of the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) to the State Engineer of Nevada to appropriate water on BLM administered land, if approved, will prove to be detrimental to the public interest by eliminating the capability to fulfill the legislated management responsibilities and is being protested under NRS 533.365.

SPECIFIC IMPACTS FROM APPLICATION #54007

There are thirteen (13) waters that will be impacted if this application is granted and results in the lowering of the water table which will eliminate available watering sources within the well field. The demand which the BLM has recognized on these waters where the BLM has a responsibility to manage is:

1) 230 AUMs for deer, 2) 730 AUMs for antelope, 3), 181 AUMs for elk, and 4) 3150 AUMs for livestock. The total AUM demand is 4291.

Of these 13 waters deer use 3, antelope use 12, elk use 1. sagegrouse use 4, water fowl use 2, and livestock use 4. The ability of the BLM to meet this demand will be impaired by the granting of an appropriation to LVVWD; therefore, it threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.

CUMULATIVE AFFECTS OF APPLICATION #54007

 Application number 54007 in conjunction with applications 54003, 54004, 54005, 54006, 54008, 54009, 54010, 54011, 54012, 54013, 54014, 54015, 54016, 54017, 54018, 54019, 54020, and 54021 will withdraw 91,218 acre feet (AF) of water if pumping occurs at the rates applied for, 24 hours

- per day, 365 days per year. This withdrawal rate is 14,218 AF per year more than occurs through natural recharge from precipitation and inflow from the Antelope Valley hydrographic area (Harrill 1988). According to Dettinger (1989) the perennial yield of an aquifer is the quantity of water which can be extracted for use each year without depleting the groundwater reservoir. The perennial yield is no greater than the total rate of flow through the aquifer and is probably less (Dettinger 1989). Because more water will be withdrawn from the Spring Valley hydrographic area than is recharged ,a slow but continuous decline in groundwater levels will occur. Also, groundwater withdrawal from the Spring Valley hydrographic area that exceeds natural recharge will preclude the underground flow of 4,000 AF per year from the Spring Valley hydrographic area to the Snake Valley hydrographic area (Upper Hamlin Valley). Numerous large artisan springs are found in upper Hamlin Valley (Hood and Rush 1965, Pupacko et al. 1989) and elimination of the 4,000 AF flow from Spring Valley to Hamlin Valley will, at the minimum, result in decreased flows, and may dry up the springs entirely. Because of these impacts and others not identifiable at this time, this application threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 2. Application 54007 in conjunction with applications 54005, 54008, 54010, 54011, 54012, 54013, 54014, 54015, 54016, 54017, 54018, 54019, 54020, and 54021 is positioned within the fringe of or just outside of a phreatic zone. The point of diversion of application 54018 allows the Las Vegas Valley Water District to obtain groundwater before it flows into the underground reservoir and is transpired by the phreatic vegetation. Phreatic vegetation is present on about 325,000 acres of bottomland in Spring Valley. Groundwater modeling in Spring Valley for the White Pine Power Project Environmental Impact Statement indicates that removal of 25,000 AF of groundwater per year for 36 years will cause a general drawdown of up to 40 feet throughout a large portion of Spring Valley. Drawdown at individual points of diversion would be as great as 240 feet. The proposed withdrawal by the Las Vegas Valley Water District is substantially greater than 25,000 AF, therefore, the potential cumulative and specific well drawdowns will be substantially greater. Groundwater withdrawal of this magnitude, both at individual points of diversion and cumulative from all the points of diversion mentioned above will lower the water table below the rooting zone of the phreatic vegetation. Soils in the basin floor of Spring Valley are very alkaline; therefore, little or no vegetation will replace the salt tolerant phreatophytes. Desertification will reduce the forage and habitat base for livestock and wildlife. Also, the aesthetic and biologic quality of the air resource will decline because desertification increases airborne particulates. Acute problems will occur during periods of high winds. Because of these impacts and others not identifiable at this time, this application threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 3. The cumulative impact of application 54007 in conjunction with the applications mentioned in the above paragraphs will have a negative impact on the Pahrump Killifish, an endangered species found in the Shoshone Ponds. According to the White Pine Power Project Environmental Impact Statement withdrawing only 25,000 AF of water per year from Spring Valley could decrease the water temperature in the ponds to less than optimum during the winter and spring months. It is believed that decreased water flows, because of extensive withdrawal, and cold atmospheric temperatures during the winter months will work together to drop the water temperature below the optimum

level needed for survival of the Killifish. The aforementioned EIS also states that the United States Fish and Wildlife Service believes that pumping 25,000 AF of groundwater per year in Spring Valley will jeopardize the continued existence of the Pahrump Killifish. Because of these impacts and others not identifiable at this time, this application threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION MANDATORY

At this time, there is insufficient information available to completely analyze and determine the full impacts to the various resources that the BLM is responsible to protect and manage. The actual impacts of the pumping of this well in conjunction with the cumulative impacts of the Las Vegas Valley Water Districts' other proposed wells cannot be fully determined until sufficient data has been collected and analyzed.

We, therefore, protest the granting of the water appropriation because neither the State Engineer nor the Las Vegas Valley Water Department (LVVWD) has prepared an analysis of all anticipated impacts associated with LVVWD's applications. If an analysis has been done, it has not been made available to the public and affected parties, and the failure to do so is not in the public interest as per NRS 533.370.3. Because it is impossible to anticipate all impacts at this time, the BLM reserves the right to amend this protest as other issues develop and as additional studies provide further information.

The Bureau is preparing notices of PWRs within the area of protest. These notices will be based only on the needs appropriate under PWR-107 and will be sent to ythe State Water Engineer over the next several months prior to adjudication.

In the Matter of Application Number 540	07		
FILED BY Las Vegas Valley Water Distric	<u>t</u> ,	DDOTECT	
ON October 17, 1989, TO APPROPRIAT	E THE	PROTEST	
WATERS OF Underground Sources			
Comes now Marcia Forman, agent f		•	men's Association
whose post office address is P. O. Box 1077, 1	Street No. or P. O. 1	Sox, City, State and Zip Code	
whose occupation is Ranching, Private Land (Owners, and C	Frazing Permittees	and protests the grantin
of Application Number54007	, filed or	October 17	, 19 <u>89</u>
by the Las Vegas Valley Water District	name of applicant		to appropriate th
waters of Underground Sources Underground or name of stream, lake, spring or other		situated in	White Pine
County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons ar		ng grounds, to wit:	
Discos Co. Att. 1			
Please See Attachments			
			r'
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the	e application be	DENIED	
and that an order be entered for such relief as the State	e Engineer deem		rights, etc., as the case may be)
	/	$m \sim 6$	
	Signed	//arcea	Lamon
	Name	Marcia Forman, A Printed or typed no	
	Address	Printed or typed no P. O. Box 150	me, if agent
		Street No. or P. O.	Box No.
	Address	Ely, Nevada 89301 City, State and Zip	Cade No.
Subscribed and many to be form and in		. 	
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	_ day of	July	, 19 <u>90</u> .
RENEE E. KNUTSON	Re	need to	nutson
Notary Public - State of Nevade Appointment Recorded in White Pine County	State of	Netary Public Nevada	
MY APPOINTMENT EXPIRES DEC. 14, 1992			
The basis of the sequence of the second sequence of the second se	County of	White Pine	

- The granting of this application, in conjunction with any other applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District in this basin, will impair, conflict and interfere with all existing water rights, sources and uses.
- If granted, the allocation of ALL unappropriated waters in this ground water basin would adversely affect all agricultural operations, including but not limited to the following:
 - a. It will adversely affect the economic welfare of all farms and ranches.
 - b. It will destroy the environmental balance by eliminating the natural surface moistures and reducing the humidity levels which creates the natural growing environment of the surrounding areas, thereby destroying the grazing lands, wetlands and farm lands.
 - c. It will halt all potential agricultural growth.
 - d. It will destroy each agricultural operation because they will be unable to continue to operate or expand.
- 3. Eastern Nevada has had severe drought conditions for the past three (3) years which has created the following hardships on all cattlemen:
 - a. The grazing areas do not have sufficient feed to support the cattle.
 - b. The surface waters are insufficient for irrigation and stockwatering.
 - c. The water tables are lowering making it very difficult and expensive to pump any water.
 - d. The cattlemen will have to cut their herds, which affects the economic welfare of everyone within the State of Nevada, especially the surrounding communities.

If the drought creates this many hardships, the continual removal of the periennial yield by the Las Vegas Valley Water District WILL destroy all ranching operations as well as the whole environment of each basin.

- 4. There are different flow systems that underlie the State of Nevada. "These flow systems link the ground water beneath many of the hydrolgic basins over distances greater than 200 miles. The implications of this linkage are immense. While the water taken from a basin may be within the perennial yield of that basin, areas as far away as 200 miles may experience drawdown, and the negative impacts associated with this phenomenon (Intertech Consultants, Inc. 1990).
- Clark County must grow only within the limits of their natural resources or the environmental and socioeconomic balance of the State of Nevada will be destroyed.
- 6. The State Engineer must consider all of the future environmental and socioeconomic ramifications of the trans-basin transfer of ground waters in order to protect the State of Nevada by not allowing these transfers.
- 7. The State Engineer has a responsibility to all of the people of Nevada and must consider all adverse affects which the granting of these applications will have on all areas in the State of Nevada.

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 5. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the
 public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water
 exploration project would;
 - Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Burcau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - a. Description of proposed works;
 - b. The estimated cost of such works;
 - The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - d. The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and related applications associated with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project cannot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - a. cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - b. mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - c. alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD service area.
- The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

STATE ENGINEERS OFFICE

JU -9 P3:06

In the Matter of Application Number 5	4007,		•
FILED BY Las Vegas Valley Water Distr	ict,		
ON October 17, 1989, TO APPROPRIA	ATE THE	PROTEST	
WATERS OF Underground Sources			
Comes now Marcia Forman,	agent for El Te Printed or typed name of	jon Cattle Company protestant	
whose post office address is 34741 7th Standa	rd Road, Baker Street No. or P. O. I	Sfield, California 933	308
whose occupation is Ranching			and protests the granting
of Application Number54007	, filed or	October 17	, 19 <u>89</u>
by the Las Vegas Valley Water District	e name of applicant		to appropriate the
waters of Underground Sources Underground or name of stream, lake, spring or or		situated in	White Pine
County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons	and on the follows	no grounds to wit-	,
,	OI MID 10110W1	ng grounds, to wit.	
Diogra Sea Attack			
Please See Attachments,			
·			
THEREFORE the protestant requests that t	he application be _	DENIED (Denied, issued subject to prior	rights atc. as the case may be
and that an order be entered for such relief as the Sta	ate Engineer deems		region, esc., as the case may be;
		m	
	Signed	Jarcea &	arman
	Name	Agent or protestant Marcia Forman. A	gent
		Printed or typed name, if	agent
	Address	P. O. Box 150 Street No. or P. O. 1	Sex No.
	2 Address	Ely, Nevada 89301	Code No.
		519, 5 mm and 219	Course (vag.
44			
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	day of	July	, 19 <u>90</u> .
	R	SW-	
RENEE E. KNUTSON	C Yes	nee July	uton
Notary Public State of M.			
	State of	Nevada	·
Appointment Recorded in White Pine County MY APPOINTMENT EXPIRES DEC. 14, 1992		Nevada White Pine	

50 60

- The granting of this application, in conjunction with any other applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District in this basin, will impair, conflict and interfere with all existing water rights, sources and uses.
- 2. If granted, the allocation of ALL unappropriated waters in this ground water basin would adversely affect all agricultural operations, including but not limited to the following:
 - a. It will adversely affect the economic welfare of all farms and ranches.
 - b. It will destroy the environmental balance by eliminating the natural surface moistures and reducing the humidity levels which creates the natural growing environment of the surrounding areas, thereby destroying the grazing lands, wetlands and farm lands.
 - It will halt all potential agricultural growth.
 - d. It will destroy each agricultural operation because they will be unable to continue to operate or expand.
- 3. Eastern Nevada has had severe drought conditions for the past three (3) years which has created the following hardships on all cattlemen:
 - a. The grazing areas do not have sufficient feed to support the cattle.
 - b. The surface waters are insufficient for irrigation and stockwatering.
 - c. The water tables are lowering making it very difficult and expensive to pump any water.
 - d. The cattlemen will have to cut their herds, which affects the economic welfare of everyone within the State of Nevada, especially the surrounding communities.

If the drought creates this many hardships, the continual removal of the periennial yield by the Las Vegas Valley Water District WILL destroy all ranching operations as well as the whole environment of each basin.

- 4. There are different flow systems that underlie the State of Nevada. "These flow systems link the ground water beneath many of the hydrolgic basins over distances greater than 200 miles. The implications of this linkage are immense. While the water taken from a basin may be within the perennial yield of that basin, areas as far away as 200 miles may experience drawdown, and the negative impacts associated with this phenomenon (Intertech Consultants, Inc. 1990).
- Clark County must grow only within the limits of their natural resources or the environmental and socioeconomic balance of the State of Nevada will be destroyed.
- 6. The State Engineer must consider all of the future environmental and socioeconomic ramifications of the trans-basin transfer of ground waters in order to protect the State of Nevada by not allowing these transfers.
- 7. The State Engineer has a responsibility to all of the people of Nevada and must consider all adverse affects which the granting of these applications will have on all areas in the State of Nevada.

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 5. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:
 - a. Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Burcau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - Description of proposed works;
 - The estimated cost of such works;
 - c. The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and renot properly be determined with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project canment of:
 - a. cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - b. mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - c. alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWID service area.
- The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

STATE ENGINEERS OFF HIT

51:1d 6- Tr 06.

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION NUMBER 54007

FILED BY Las Vegas Valley Water Dis	,	PROTEST
ON October 17 1989 TO APPROP	PRIATE THE	
WATERS OF Underground		
Dobart I Houte		
Comes now Robert L. Harbe	Printer	for typed name of protections
whose post office address is. SR 5 Box 27,	FPH MOU	ada 89301 No. or P.O. Box, City, State and Zip Code
whose occupation is Farmer - Ran	2626	No. or P.O. Box, City, State and Zip Code, and protests the granting
of Application Number 54007	filed on	October 17 , 1989
by Las Vegas Valley		trict to appropriate the
		situated in White Pine County
County, State of Nevada, for the following re	asons and or	n the following grounds, to wit:
		use the extraction of water would lower
		i adversely affect my personal existing
		and grounds for further protest.

THEREFORE the protestant requests that t	he applicatio	n beDenied
and that an order be entered for such relief as	the State Fi	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case may be)
	_	obert I Harrich
	Signed	
	-	Agent or protestant ert L. Harbecke and Fern A. Harbecke
	***************************************	Printed or typed name, if agent
	Address	SR 5 Box 27 Street No. or P.O. Box No.
	******************	Ely, Nevada 89301
		City, State and Zip Code No.
,2	• .	4.
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	day of	
	7	ois E. Weaver
LOIS E. WEAVER Notary Public - State of Nevada	•	Notary Public
White Pine County, Nevada Appointment Expires OCT. 3, 1990	State of	Nevada
7,500	County of	White Pine

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 5. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:
 - Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Burcau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - Description of proposed works;
 - The estimated cost of such works;
 - The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and renot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - a. cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - b. mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - c. alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD service area.
- The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

STATE ENGINEERS OFFILT

.30 W -9 P2:19

In the Matter of Application Number 5400	<u>_</u>		
FILED BY Las Vegas Valley Water District	,	PROTEST	
ON October 17, 1989, TO APPROPRIATE	THE	IROTESI	
WATERS OF Underground Sources			
Comes now <u>Marcia Forman</u> , ag			
whose post office address is P. O. Box 150518,	East Ely, No. or P. O. 1	evada 89315 Box, City, State and Zip Code	
whose occupation is <u>Veterinary Assistant</u>		-	and protests the granting
of Application Number54007	, filed or	October 17	, 19_89
by the Las Vegas Valley Water District	De of applicant	-	to appropriate the
waters of <u>Underground Sources</u> Underground or name of stream, lake, spring or other		situated in	White Pine
County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons and			
	- 011 410 10110W1	ng gionines, to wit.	
Please See Attachment.			
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the	application be	DENIED	
		(Donied, issued subject to prior r	ights, etc., as the case may be)
and that an order be entered for such relief as the State	Engineer deem	s just and proper.	ef
	Signed	percea ?	Jasna
	Name_	Agent or protestant Marcia Forman, Ag	ent
	Address	Printed or typed name, if a	
\$141		Street No. or P. O. B Ely, Nevada 89301	ox No.
	Address	City, State and Zip C	ode No.
ou			
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	day of	July	19 90 .
		C.W.	
	Otes	neco. Her	uteon
RENEE E. KNUTSON Notary Public - State of Nevada	State of	Nevada Nevada	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Appointment Recorded in White Pine County MY APPOINTMENT EXPRES DEC. 14, 1992	County of	White Pine	

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 5. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:
 - a. Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- 8. The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Burcau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - a. Description of proposed works;
 - b. The estimated cost of such works;
 - The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and related applications associated with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project cannot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - b. mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives
 of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD
 service area.
- The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

STATE ENGINEERS OFFILE

36 TE -6 HZ :38

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION NUMBER	54007,			
FILED BY Las Vegas Valley Water Dis	trict ,			
ON October 17, 1989, TO APPROPR	RIATE THE	} PR	OTEST	
Waters of Underground Sources	***************************************			
				
Comes now Marcia Forman,	agent for De	an G. N	leubauer	
whose post office address is P. O. Box 1500	82. Fast Fly	Nevada		
whose occupation is Party Chief	Street (W. Gr F.	O. Box, City,		and protests the gra
of Application Number 54007	, filed	l on	October 17	_
by the Las Vegas Valley Water District	t	-		
Printed or ty	yped name of applicant			to appropria
waters of <u>Underground Sources</u> Underground or name of stream, lake, spring of			situated in	White Pine
County, State of Nevada, for the following reason	s and on the follo	wing gro	unds, to wit:	
THEREFORE the protestant requests that	the application be	eD	ENIED	
and that an order be entered for such relief as the S	State Engineer dee	ems just a	enied, issued subject to prior rig	hts, etc., as the case may be)
		m		P
<i>*</i> ₃ ,	Signed	11/2	Agent or protestant	ounau
	Name	Mar	cia Forman, Age	ent
:	Address	P. C	Printed or typed mane, if ago. Box 150	mit
î	Address		Street No. or P. O. Box Nevada 89301	No.
	. 1441 000	<u> </u>	City, State and Zip Cod	le No.
subscribed and sworn to before me this				
subscribed and sworn to before me this	day of	<u>July</u>	, 1	9 <u>90</u> .
		den	er-6. /	mutter:
RENEE E. KNUTSON		<u> </u>	Notary Public	,
Notary Public - State of Nevada Appointment Recorded in White Pine County	State of	Neva	ıda	
ADDONOTHENT EYPIRES DEC. 14.1992	County of	WA	ite Dine	

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 5. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:
 - a. Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

- The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - Description of proposed works;
 - The estimated cost of such works;
 - The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and renot properly be determined with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project cannet of:
 - cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - b. mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - c. alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD service area.
- The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.



STATE ENGINEER'S OFFICE

In the Matter of Application Number 54007,

FILED BY Las Vegas Valley Water Distri	ict PROTEST
on October 17 1990, to Appropriate	É THE
Waters of Underground	
Comes nowPuane Reed	Delay de la constant
whose post office address is 606 Canyon St.	Street No. or P.O. Box, City, State and Zip Code
whose occupation isBusinessman	Street No. or P.O. Box, City, State and Zip Code, and protests the granting
	on
	name of applicant to appropriate the
Printed or typed a waters of Underground	name of applicantsituated inWhite_Pine_County ring or other source
Underground or name of stream, lake, spin	
teleson	is and on the following glowings, to wit:
See Attached	
The state of the s	
\	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the ap	pplication beDenied
and that an order be entered for such relief as the	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case may be)
	(d) 112.10 (COO)
Sign Sign Sign Sign Sign Sign Sign Sign	gned
	Printed or typed name, if agent
Ad	ddress 606 Canyon St. Street No. of P.O. Box No.
	Ely Nevada
	City, State and Zip Code No.
Subscribed and swarp to before me this.	to a Table
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	day or 19 70.
4	parol Morcross Wlahos
	ate of NEVADA
White Pine County Nevada	ounty of WHITE PINE
Appt. Exp. Jan. 9, 1994	,



\$10 FILING FEE MUST ACCOMPANY PROTEST. PROTEST MUST BE FILED IN DUPLICATE.
ALL COPIES MUST CONTAIN ORIGINAL SIGNATURE.



0.2035

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:
 - a. Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Burcau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be defied.

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - a. Description of proposed works;
 - b. The estimated cost of such works;
 - The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and related applications associated with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project cannot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - a. cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - b. mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives
 of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD
 service area.
- 15. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

STATE ENGINEERS OFFILT

91: Zd 6- 70° 06.

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION NUMBER 51,007

Filed by Las Vegas Valley Water Dist	PROTEST
ON Oct. 17, 19.39, TO APPROPRIATE T	
Waters of Underground Sources	
	 !
Comes now Mark Schroeder	
whose post office address is 847 Murry St. I	Printed or typed name of protestant Ply. Nevada 89301
V A Comp.	Street No. or P.O. Box, City, State and Zip Code , and protests the granting
of Application Number 54007 filed on	0ct . 17, 1989
. Ise Verse Veller Mater Dies	
Printed or typed nam	e of applicant to appropriate the
waters of Un derg roun d Sources Underground or name of stream, take, spring	or other source Situated in White Pine
County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons a	
See Attached Sheet	
\bigcap	
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the appl	ignation has DEN TED
	(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case may be)
and that an order be entered for such relief as the St	ate Engineer deems just and proper.
8	Make VII
Signe	Mark Sc hroeder
	Printed or typed pages 16 agests
Addr	847 Murry Street Sirect No. or P.O. Box No.
	Ely, N evada 89301
	City, State and Zip Code No.
Subscribed and sworn to before me thisda	y of July 1990
	Lord E. Illoquent
LOIS E. WEAVER Notary Public - State of Nevada State	Lois E. Weaver
White Pine County, Nevada Appointment Expires OCT. 3, 1990	oi fuata
Appointment expires COTIO,	yor white Pine

\$10 FILING FEE MUST ACCOMPANY PROTEST. PROTEST MUST BE FILED IN DUPLICATE.
ALL COPIES MUST CONTAIN ORIGINAL SIGNATURE.

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 5. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:
 - a. Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- 8. The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - Description of proposed works;
 - b. The estimated cost of such works;
 - The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and related applications associated with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project cannot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - a. cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - c. alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD service area.
- 15. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

STATE ENGINEERS OFFICE

20:29 9- JU 06"

In the Matter of Application Number $.5.4$	007.	
Fu _{FD By} Las Vegas Valley Water Dist	trict	PROTEST
ON October 17 1989 , to Approx	PRIATE THE	
WATERS OF Underground Sources		
Comes nowC1	ive Sprou	Se of or typed name of protestant
whose post office address is	O. Box 1	50-559, East Ely, NV 89315 t No. or P.O. Box, City, State and Zip Code
whose occupation is Ra	ncher	and protests the grantin
of Application Number 5400.7	, filed on	October 17 , 19.8
by Las Vegas Valley Water Distri	.ct r typed name of ap	olicant to appropriate th
	s	situated in White Pine
County, State of Nevada, for the following r		
	·	
See Attached Sheet		

THEREFORE the protestant requests that	the applicati	on he DENTED
		(Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case may he)
and that an order be entered for such relief a	is the State 1	angifieer deems just and proper.
	Signed	los Some
		Agni Sprotesiani Clive Sprouse
	Address	Printed or typed name, if agent
	Audiess	Street No. or P.O. Box No.
	******************	East Ely. NV 89315 City, State and Zip Code No.
	*****************	East Ely, NV 89315 City, State and Zip Code No.
Subscribed and sworn to before me this		City, State and Zip Code No.
LOIS E. WEAVER		City, State and Zip Code No.
		City, State and Zip Code No.

- 1. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking to appropriate over 810,000 acre-feet of ground water for municipal use within the service area of the District in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will lower the static water level in this basin, will adversely affect the quality of remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeds and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface area existing uses.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and dedicated users in this basin will exceed the safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. This Application is one of over 140 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of over 860,000 acre-feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 4. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts socioeconomic impacts, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:
 - a. Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statutes;
 - b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
 - c. Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - d. Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- 8. The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 9. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained right-or-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County.
- 10. This Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively will increase the waste of water and lack of effective conservation efforts in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 11. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.

- 12. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the application fails to include the statutorily required:
 - a. Description of proposed works;
 - b. The estimated cost of such works;
 - The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 13. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of this basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 14. This Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to grant the public interest properly. This Application and related applications associated with this major withdrawal out of the basin transfer project cannot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - a. cumulative impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - b. mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - c. alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the LVVWD service area.
- The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the aforementioned applications filed pursuant to NRS 533.365.
- 16. In as much as a water extraction and trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develop as a result of further study.

STATE ENGINEERS OFFICE

06: rd 6-70 06.

d protests the granting
, 19_89_
to appropriate the
ite Pine
is the case may be)
.
. \
tes

The City of Ely and The Board of County Commissioners, White Pine County, State of Nevada, do hereby protest the above referenced application upon the following grounds:

- 1. Upon information and belief Protestant asserts that there is not sufficient unappropriated groundwater in Spring Valley to provide the water sought in Application Number 54007 and all other pending applications involving the utilization of surface and ground water from that Basin.
- 2. Upon information and belief Protestant asserts that the appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations to dedicated users in the Spring Valley Basin will exceed the annual recharge and safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table and degrade the quality of water from existing wells, cause negative hydraulic gradient influences, further cause other negative impacts and will adversely affect existing rights adverse to the public interest.
- 3. That the groundwater sought in Application Number 54007 will conflict with and interfere with groundwater sought in previously filed Applications in the Spring Valley Basin as set out a State Engineer's abstract which is hereto as Exhibit "A" fully incorporated herein, said Applications being prior in time to the instant Application and which have not been acted upon by the State Engineer.
- 4. The granting or approval of the instant Application would conflict with or tend to impair existing water rights in the Spring Valley Basin in that it would exceed the safe yield of the subject basin and unreasonably lower the static water level and sanction water mining which is contrary to public policy in the State of Nevada.
- 5. That the appropriation of the water sought in the instant Application, when added to the other pending Applications and to the already approved appropriations and dedicated uses in the Spring Valley Basin, will lower the static water level in Spring Valley Basin, will adversely affect the quality of the remaining ground water and will further threaten springs, seeps and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat critical to the use and survival of wildlife, grazing livestock and other surface existing uses.

- 6. This Application is one of approximately 147 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of approximately 860,000 acre feet of ground and surface water for municipal use in the Las Vegas Valley Artesian Basin. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the county and area of origin of the water needed for its environment and economic well being and will unnecessarily destroy or damage environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 7. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and a water resource plan consideration for the general Las Vegas Valley area such as has been required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water, is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 8. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive water resource development planning, including but not limited to, environmental impacts, socioeconomic impact, and long term impacts on the water resource, threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.
- 9. Granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water exploration project would:
 - (1) Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the Endangered Species Act and related state statues;
 - (2) Prevent or interfere with the conservation and management of those threatened or endangered species;
 - (3) Take or harm those endangered species; and
 - (4) Interfere with the purpose for which the Federal lands are managed under Federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- 10. That the withdrawal of the ground water sought in this Application and/or in conjunction with withdrawal of groundwaters sought in other Applications in Spring Valley included in the water importation project will exceed the annual recharge and safe yield of the basin and will cause the loss of surface plant communities that provide forage and habitat for wildlife and forage for livestock, thus eliminating those uses of the basin.

- 11. That the granting of this Application together with the companion Applications filed as part of the water importation project will necessitate the Applicant to locate well sites, build road and power lines to each well site, causing surface disturbance and degradation of the environment, including loss of wildlife habitat, wildlife populations, and grazing lands for livestock.
- 12. The approval of the subject Application will sanction and enhance the willful waste of water allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District, and that such waste of water is contrary to public policy in the State of Nevada.
- 13. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management. This application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained or demonstrated that it can obtain right-of-way for water development on public lands and the transportation of water from the proposed point of diversion to the service area of the Las Vegas Valley Water District in Clark County, and therefore cannot show that the water will ever be placed in beneficial use.
- 14. The Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications of the water importation project will perpetuate and may increase the inefficient use of water and frustrate efforts of water demand management in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 15. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability of transporting water under the subject permit as a prerequisite to placing the water to beneficial use and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.
- 16. The above-reference Application should be denied because the Application fails to adequately include the statutorily required information, to wit;
 - (1) Description of proposed works;
 - (2) The estimated cost of such works;
 - (3) The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use; and
 - (4) The approximate number of persons to be served and the approximate future requirement.
- 17. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other Applications will exceed the safe yield of the Spring Valley Basin thereby adversely affect phreatophytes and create air contamination and air pollution in

violation of State and Federal Statutes, including but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.

- 18. The Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to guard the public interest properly. This Application and related applications associated with this major withdrawal of groundwater out of the basin cannot properly be determined without an independent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:
 - a. cumulative environmental and socioeconomic impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - b. mitigation measures that will reduce such impacts of the proposed extractions;
 - c. alternatives to the proposed extractions, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and mandatory and effective water conservation in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 19. That this Application should be denied because the Applicant has failed to provide to Protestant relevant information regarding this Application and other Applications which comprise this project as required by N.R.S. 533.363. That the failure to provide such relevant information denies Protestant due process of law under Chapter 533, N.R.S., in that said relevant information may provide Protestant with further meaningful grounds of protest, and that Protestant may be forever barred from submitting such further grounds of protest because the protest period may run before Applicant provides such required information. That the failure of Applicant to provide such information denies Protestant with meaningful opportunity to submit protests to this Application and other Applications included in this project as allowed by Chapter 533, N.R.S.
- 20. The subject Application should be denied because the population projections upon which the water demand projections are based are unrealistic and ignore numerous constraints to growth, including traffic congestion, increase costs of infrastructure and services, degraded air quality, etc.
- 21. The subject Application should be denied because previous and current conservation programs instituted by the Las Vegas Water District are ineffective, public-relations oriented efforts that are unlikely to achieve substantial water savings. Public policy and public interest considerations should preclude the negative environmental and socioeconomic consequences of the proposed transfers on areas of origin when the potential water importer has failed to make a good-faith effort to efficiently use currently available supplies.
- 22. The subject Application should be denied because the enormous costs of the project likely will result in water rate increases of such a magnitude that demand will be substantially reduced, thereby rendering the water transfer unnecessary.

- 23. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest and is not made in good faith since it would allow the Las Vegas Valley Water District to lock up vital water resources for possible use sometime in the distant future peyond current planning horizons.
- 24. The subject Application should be denied because current and developing trends in housing, landscaping, national plumbing fixture stands, and demographic patterns all suggest that the simplistic water demand forecasts upon which the proposed transfers are based substantially overstate future water demand needs.
- 25. The subject Application should be denied because the current per capita water consumption rate for the Las Vegas Valley Water District is double that of similarly situated southwestern municipalities. This suggests enormous potential for more cost-effective supply alternatives, including demand management and effluent re-use. These alternatives have not been seriously considered by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 26. The subject Application should be denied because the enormous costs of the project likely will result in water rate increases of such a magnitude that demand will be substantially reduced, thereby rendering the transfers unnecessary.
- 27. The subject Application should be denied because the current per capita water consumption rate for the the Las Vegas Valley Water District currently is double that of similarly situated southwestern municipalities. This suggests enormous potential for more cost-effective supply alternatives, including demand management and effluent re-use, which avoid the negative impacts on rural areas of origin and have not been considered.
- 28. That the State Engineer has previously denied other groundwater Applications submitted by other Applicants in the subject basin, said Applications having been prior in time to the instant Application and those associated with the water importation project. That the grounds of denial for prior Applications should apply equally to the instant Application and if appropriate, should provide grounds to deny the instant Application.
- 29. Inasmuch as water extraction and the trans-basin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further study. Accordingly, the Protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they develope as a result of further study.
- 30. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to this Application and/or to any Application filed that is included in this project and filed pursuant to N.R.S. 533.365.

APPR	CHANGE OF APP#	CERT# FILING	STAT SRC	-POINT OF DIVE	TWP RNG	-RATE OF USE.P	IRRI GATED	ANNUAL DL
45312		02/10/32	REA UG	SE 7 7 134	57E	0.000 IRD	± ± 00 00	0.90 *
45495		11265 94/32/84	CER US	SE NW 23 5N	63E	0.120_STK		28.30 m
8 7 9 5 7		05/10/82	PEP UG	NW SE 16 13N	67E	4.500 IRR	270.00	1,080.00 A
45793	43434	05/15/32	AFA US	SW ME 36 174	67E	1.000 IND		0.00
45799	43434	06/16/82	RFA UG	LT 93 1 16N	67E	1.000 IND		0.00
45800	43434	06/16/82	KFA_UG	SE SE 2 16N	67E	1.000 IND		0.00
45801	43434	06/15/82	RFA UG	WE SE 11 16N	67E	1.007 IND	•	0.00
45802	43434	06/15/92	RFA UG	NE SW 14 16N	67E	1.000 IND		0.00
45863	42424	06/16/82	REA UG	VW SW 23 16N	67E	1.000 IND		0.00
45804	43435	C5/15/54	KFA UG	SE SE 34_15N	57E	1.002 IND	1	0.50
45805	43435	06/16/82	RFA UG.	VE SE 2 15N	67E	1.000 IND	1 A	0.00
45806	43435	06/16/32	REA UG	SW SE 11 154	67E	1.000 IND	_	0.00
45807	43435	06/16/82	RFA UG	SW SE 14 15N	67E	1.000 IND	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	0.00
45808	43435	06/16/82	RFA UG	NE VE 35 16N	66E	1.009 IND 1		0.00
+5809	43435	00/15/32	KFA UG	SE SE 35 164	66E	1.001 IND	Γ.,	Ex
			ř	i		•		

AATER RIGHTS SYSTEM.

HYDROGRAPHIC AREA: 10-134 SPRING J 45823 45818 (45821) 25822 45815 02857 45819 45817 45815 45814 45813 45811 45813 45812 A P P # OF APP# CERT# DATE 43436 43437 43437 43438 43457 43457 43435 43438 43437 43437 43436 43436 43436 92727 _0.6/16/32 06/15/82 06/16/32 SFA UG 06/15/32 05/15/82 06/16/82 06/16/34 06/15/82 05/15/82 06/15/82 06/16/82 .06/16/32 06/16/92 06/16/82 .. RFA UG KFA UG SE NE 23. 15% STAT SRC Q Q SEC TWP RNG RFA UG REA UG RFA UG 14 SW 13 154 REA UG *** P.G RFA UG RFA UG RFA UG REA UG REA US 2 PA US NW NW 11 13N SE SE 34 14N YE SE 25 15N 35 XX SE SW 12 15N S SE SW SW SW 26 14N 65E SE SE 14 144 SE SW 23 144 11 11 11 11 11 11 S III SE 11 14N HYDROGRAPHIC BASIN ABSTRACT GROUND WATER 25 154 1 154 35 15V 2 141 66E 65 E . 65 E 65E 65E **66E** 9.49 65E 66E 65E 66 E 65 E 663 RATE OF U 1.000 IND 1.000 IND 1.000 IND 1.000 IND 1.000 IND 1.000 IND 1.007 IND 1.000 IND 1.000 IND 1.003 IND 1.000 IND מאז כמח.ו 1.000 IND 1.000 IND ANNUAL DU

0.00

0.00 9.90 0.00

0.00

0.00

アルエンコ

1.27.20

0.00

0.00 0.00 0.00

-0.00

0.00

0.00

0.70

NATER RIGHTS SYSTEM

HYDROGRAPHIC BASIN ABSTRACT SROUND WATER

7.00	a. aa	I II	5.400	67E	114	E 35 23	2.5 C.0	\ ステン ピ	2127192 /	n	4225ē	40102
0.00	0.00	IRD	5.400	57E	11.4	W SW 23	UG SI	aFA ∪	02/27/82		42249	45101
0.00	0.00	IRO	5.400	67E	112	E S = 23	JG S	RFA 1	08/27/32	0	42251	45100
0.00	0.00	IPO	5.400	67€	3 11 v	رم ج	A k 90	x FA (08/27/32	o	62248	66394
640.00 A	160.00	IRD	2.700	67€	7 14N	92	ופי דו	RFA U	08/27/80	. 0		46098
640.00-A	150.00	IRO	2.703	675	7 14%	33	חפדו	SFA L	08/27/8:			460.97
0.00	og allelege y y y - a degle videly dele basely og di - y melde delegelskepillen	IND	1.000	67E	9 13N	Sm	JG SE	KFA U	06/15/82		43439	45833
0.00		IND	1.000	67E	9 13N	æ	UG NE	RFA (06/16/82	0	43439	45832
7.00		IND	1.000	67E	1 13V	2	S NE	x F y U	6/16/82		63459	45851
0.00-		IND	1.000	-66E	24.12N	m	N.N. DO	RFA	£6/16/32		43439	45830
0.00		IND	1.000	66E	3 12N	Z Z Z	s 9n	as Fi	06/16/82		43439	45829
0.00		CHI	1.000	858	2 12N	W SW 12	ମାର ୬୩	X FI F	06/15/92	a	62727	45825
0.00		IND	1.000	66E	5 13N	W SW 2	S SO	なアル	00/16/32		43438	45827
0.00		CNI	1 000	55E	4 13N	W 5 % 2	S	RFA UG	05/16/82		43433	45825
0.00		1 × 5	1.000	0.5 m	4 13v	SW SE 14	S 5/1	- F 4 -	06/15/82	:	63658	45825
ANNUAL DU	IRRIGATED	OSE POS	RATE (CFS)	DIVERSION RNG	C TWP	STAT SRC Q Q SEC	SRC P	STAT	FITNG	CERT#	CHANGE OF APP#	# 44 %

9H3	~ !	43434 CHG B	42351	42300	42299	42298	42297	42295	42295	12294	42293	# dd Y	HYDROGR AS	*# 20851
7 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	45803 45803	45801 45801 45801						>				OF APP# CE	APHIC "AFEAT 10=13	
03730751		p3/30/81_	08/23/97	08/28/85	(28/82/30	08/28/83	08/28/85	03/25/85	08/23/35	(3/28/8)	73/28/30	ERTH FILIS	Shilads 7	
X A		era us	RFA UG	RFA UG	RFA U3	RFA UG	RFA UG	% T.A. ∪ G	RFA UG	era ue	RED 138	STAT SPC		
NE NE 2 74N 66E		NE NE 1 144 65E	SW NW 30 12N 67E	SW SW 30 12N 67E	SW SE 30 124 678	SW VE 30 124 67E	SE SE 12 114 66E	SE NE 12 114 66E	SW VE 5 12N 67E	SW SE 5 124 675	NE NE 5 124 675	POINT OF DIVESSION	HYDROGRAPHIC GROUND	EATER RIG
0.000 IND	1 9	5.000 IND	0.000 IRR	0.000 IRR	0.007 IRP	0.000 IR9	NATE OF U	BASIN A WATER	HIS SYSTEM					
			0.00	0.00	ŋ. 00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0. 00	0.00	A CRES IRRIGATED		
0.00		0.00	0.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	ANNUAL D		

		HYDROGRAPHIC BA	ASIN ABSTRACT		:
APP#	OFFANGE	10-124 SPRING CERT# FILING STAT SRC Q Q SEC TWP RNG	RATE OF U	A.C. A.E.S.	ANNUAL DU
43436 CHG AY	MUMODA E (E.J.S) A LA LA	(13/30/91) RFA UG NE VE 11 144 665	5.000 IND		້ ອ•ວິດ
	45 E80 C84 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A				
43437 CHG EY	<u> </u>	23/30/81 RFA UG NE NE 12 14N 65E	4.000 IND		0.00
	2444 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000				
43438 43438	582	03/37/31 RFA UG NE VE 13 144 66E	6.000 IND		ده.ه
	7 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				
*	29.5				
	6.2855 6.28554 6.28534 7.28534	107 307 31 KFA UG NE NE 14 14N 65E	5.000 IND		0.00
~	00:00 00:00 10:40				
45175		12/31/91 RFA UG SE SW 15 14N 67E	5.560 IRR	320.00	0.00
45287	29967	1101Z 02/35/82CER. US NE. SW 12 12N67E	1.350 IRR	78.20	312.80 A
45311		02/10/2. CAFA UG NE VE 12 134 678	0.000 tro	o. 00	0.00.

zi į

Action of the Contraction of the

			1	
In the Matter of A	PLICATION NUMBER	54007	1	
FILED BY Las Ve	<u>jas Valley Wate</u> j	r District,	PROTEST	
on October 17	19.89., то Ар	PROPRIATE THE		
WATERS OF Under	ground	***************************************		
			r	
Comes now U	S. Fish and Wil		CO dor typed name of protestant	***************************************
\		Stree	et, Portland, OR 97232-	***************************************
whose occupation is	onservation, pr	rotection, ar	id enhancement of fish,	wildlife and their hal
of Application Numb			0	1989
by Las Vegas Va	•			
	Prir	nted or typed name of ap	plicant	
waters ofUnde	Underground or name of str	ream, lake, spring or other	situated in W	ite Pine
County, State of New	ada, for the following	ng reasons and o	on the following grounds, to wit	:
See Attached.	***************************************		2004	

***************************************		·		

)				***************************************
***************************************	***************************************	**************************		,
***************************************	*****************************	***************************************		
***************************************		***************************************	P-1-1-1-1	***************************************
		******************************		***************************************
	. 4			
THEREFORE th	e protessint requests	that the application	on be Denied subject to prior r	ishte etc. sethe care may het
and that an order be	entered for such rel	ief as the State F	ingineer deems just and proper.	ignis, etc., as the case may be;
	j. 3		one of the property	
	3 /	Signed	Manne blem	/
	*		Agent or protestant arvin L. Plenert, Region	al Director
	5	***************************************		
		Address	U.S. Fi ^P sh and Wildia 1002 NE Holladay St.	e Service
			Street No. or P.O. Box No	
		***************************************	Portland, OR S City, State and Zip Code N	0. 17232-4101
Subscribed and sworn	to before me this 4	25Hz day of	Jane . 91)	
outside and sworn	to octore me tms	day OI.	1 1 1	4
			Marilyn G. Ho	lway
			Notary Public	J.
		State of	Oregon	***************************************
		County of	Multnomah	

		M	of Commission E	Speres 11/17/92
		7	To minimus of	7 11

\$10 FILING FEE MUST ACCOMPANY PROTEST. PROTEST MUST BE FILED IN DUPLICATE.
ALL COPIES MUST CONTAIN ORIGINAL SIGNATURE.

2454 (Revised 6-80

O-2035

REASONS AND GROUNDS FOR PROTEST BY NYE COUNTY

The Nye County Board of Commissioners, State of Nevada, does hereby protest the above-referenced Application for the following reasons and on the following grounds, to wit:

- 1. Upon information and belief protestant asserts that there is not sufficient unappropriated ground water in host water basin to provide the water sought in the above-referenced Application and all other pending applications involving the utilization of surface and ground water from the basin.
- 2. The appropriation of this water when added to the already approved appropriations and existing uses and water rights in host water basin will exceed the annual recharge and safe yield of the basin. Appropriation and use of this magnitude will lower the water table; degrade the quality of water from existing wells; cause negative hydraulic gradient influences; and threaten springs, seeps and phreatophytes which provide water and habitat that are critical to the survival of wildlife and grazing livestock.
- 3. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would unreasonably lower the water table and sanction water mining, which is contrary to Nevada law and public policy.
- 4. This Application is one of 146 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of some 864,195 acre-feet of ground and surface water primarily for municipal use in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the area of origin of the water needed to protect and enhance its environment and economic well-being; and the diversion will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 5. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application in the absence of comprehensive water-resource development planning, including, but not limited to, environmental-impact considerations, socioeconomic-impact considerations, cost/benefit considerations, water-resource evaluation by an independent entity, and a water-resource plan for the Las Vegas Valley Water District (such as is required by the Public Service Commission of water purveyors) is detrimental to the public welfare and interest.
- 6. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest in that it, individually and together with other applications of the water importation project, would:
 - a. Likely jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species recognized under the federal Endangered Species Act and related state statutes:

- b. Prevent or interfere with the conservation of those threatened or endangered species;
- c. Take or harm those endangered or threatened species; and
- d. Interfere with the purpose for which the federal lands are managed under federal statutes including, but not limited to, the Federal Land Use Policy Act of 1976.
- 7. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application will sanction and encourage the willful waste of water that has been allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District. Said waste of water is contrary to Nevada law and public policy.
- 8. The subject Application seeks to develop the water resources of, and transport water across, lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained or demonstrated that it can obtain the necessary legal interest (right-of-way) on said lands to extract, develop and transport water from the point of diversion to the point of use in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area. Therefore, the Las Vegas Valley Water District cannot show that the water will ever be placed in beneficial use.
- 9. The Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the water importation project will perpetuate and may increase the inefficient use of water in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area and frustrate efforts at water-demand management in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 10. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability for developing and transporting water under the subject permit, which is a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use; and accordingly, the subject Application should be denied.
- 11. The above-referenced Application should be denied because it fails to adequately include the statutorily required information, to wit:
 - a. Description of proposed works;
 - b. The estimated cost of such works:
 - c. The estimated time required to construct the works and the estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use;
 - d. The approximate number of persons to be served and the future requirement; and
 - e. The dimensions and location of proposed water-storage reservoirs, the capacity of the proposed reservoirs, and a description of the lands to be submerged by impounded waters.

- 12. The subject Application should be denied because it individually and cumulatively with other applications of the proposed project will exceed the safe yield of host water basin thereby adversely affecting phreatophytes and creating air contamination and air pollution in violation of State and Federal Statutes, including, but not limited to, the Clean Air Act and Chapter 445 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- 13. The Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineer to properly safeguard the public interest. The adverse effects of this Application and related applications associated with the proposed water appropriation and transportation project (largest appropriation of ground water in the history of the State of Nevada) cannot properly be evaluated without an independent, formal and publicly reviewable assessment of the following:
 - a. The water resources of the proposed area of diversion and the cumulative effects of the proposed diversions;
 - b. Mitigation measures that will reduce the impacts of the proposed extraction; and
 - c. Alternatives to the proposed extraction, including, but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and aggressive implementation of all proven and cost-effective water-demand management strategies.
- 14. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the applicant has failed to provide the protestant relevant information regarding this Application and other applications which comprise the proposed importation project (works) as required by N.R.S. 533.363. The failure to provide such relevant information denies protestant due process of law under Chapter 533, N.R.S., in that said relevant information may provide protestant with further meaningful grounds of protest, and that protestant may be forever barred from submitting such further grounds of protest because the protest period may end before Applicant provides such required information. The failure of applicant to provide such information denies protestant the meaningful opportunity to submit protests to this Application and other applications associated with the water importation project as allowed by Chapter 533, N.R.S.
- 15. The subject Application should be denied because the population projections upon which the water-demand projections are based are unrealistic and ignore numerous constraints to growth, including traffic congestion, increased costs of infrastructure and services, degraded air quality, protection of rare and endangered species, etc.
- 16. The subject Application should be denied because previous and current conservation programs instituted by the Las Vegas Valley Water District are inefficient public-relations-oriented efforts that are unlikely to achieve substantial water savings. Public-policy and public-interest considerations should preclude the negative environmental and socioeconomic consequences of the proposed transfers on areas of origin when the potential water importer has failed to make a good-faith effort to efficiently use currently available supplies.

- 17. The subject Application should be denied because the enormous costs of the project likely will result in water-rate increases of such a magnitude that demand will be substantially reduced, thereby rendering the water transfer unnecessary.
- 18. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest and not made in good faith since it would allow the Las Vegas Valley Water District to lock up vital water resources for possible use sometime in the distant future beyond current planning horizons.
- 19. The subject Application should be denied because current and developing trends in housing, landscaping, national plumbing-fixture standards and demographic patterns all suggest that the simplistic water-demand forecasts upon which the proposed transfers are based substantially overstate future water-demand needs.
- 20. The subject Application should be denied because the current per capita water-consumption rate for the Las Vegas Valley Water District is double that of similarly situated southwestern municipalities. This suggests enormous potential for most cost-effective supply alternatives, including demand management and effluent re-use. These alternatives have not been seriously considered by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 21. The above-referenced Application should be denied because the State Engineer has previously denied other applications for water from the host water basin, said applications having been prior in time to the instant Application and those applications associated with the water importation project. The grounds for denial (e.g., applicant does not own or control the land on which the water is to be diverted, approval would be detrimental to the public welfare, etc.) of the prior applications should apply equally to the instant Applicant and provide grounds to deny the instant Application.
- 22. The granting or approval of the above-referenced Application and the other applications associated with the water-importation project will most likely have a negative impact on Nevada's environment (see the report entitled Las Vegas Water Importation Project Technology Assessment by Baughman and Finson). Therefore, the subject Application should be denied by the State Engineer since it is the public policy of the State of Nevada, per Governor Bob Miller's January 25, 1990, State of the State Address, to protect Nevada's environment, even at the expense of growth (see page 11 of the Address).
- 23. The State Engineer is a member of the State of Nevada Environmental Commission (N.R.S. 445.451). This entity has the duty to prevent, abate and control air pollution in the State of Nevada, including Las Vegas Valley. Air pollution in Las Vegas Valley is so bad that the Valley has been classified a non-attainment area for national and state ambient air-quality standards for CO and PMIO. The Las Vegas Valley Water District applications for water from central, eastern and southern Nevada are for the purpose of securing water to encourage and support future growth in Las Vegas Valley. The State Engineer should deny the above-referenced Application and the other applications associated with the water-importation project since more water means more growth—therefore, more air

pollution. The State Engineer should be taking steps to ameliorate the air-quality problem in Las Vegas Valley, not exacerbate it. The State Engineer, along with the other members of the Environmental Commission, has the legal and moral responsibility to prevent air pollution in Las Vegas Valley. Therefore, the Commission should protest the subject application and the other applications associated with the growth-inducing project.

- 24. The above-referenced Application should be denied because economic activity in the area of the proposed point of diversion is water-dependent (e.g., grazing, recreation, etc.); and a reduction in the quantity and/or quality of water in the area would adversely impact said activity and the way of life of the area's residents.
- 25. The above-referenced Application and the other applications associated with the water-importation project should not be approved if said approval is influenced by the State Engineer's desire or need to ensure that there is sufficient water for those lots and condominium units created in Las Vegas Valley by subdivision maps. These maps were approved by the State Engineer, and he certified that there is sufficient water for the lots and units created by the maps. If there is not sufficient water for these lots and units, then Clark County water resources (e.g., water created by conservation, water saved by re-use, etc.) should be developed and assigned to the water-short lots and units.
- 26. On information and belief the Las Vegas Valley Water District applications to appropriate water from central, eastern and southern Nevada should be denied since the District has not shown a need for the water and the feasibility (technical and financial) of the water-importation project. The District's need for the water and the feasibility of the water-importation project should be components of a water-resource plan approved by the Public Service Commission of Nevada (see N.R.S. 704.020(2)(b)).
- 27. Las Vegas Valley Water District public statements and written material indicate that approximately 61 percent of the water rights sought by the District (via the 146 applications) are to be temporary water rights. But, the applications (146) state the water is to be used on a permanent basis. Therefore, the subject applications, including the above-referenced Application, should be denied because the public has been denied relevant information and due process.
- 28. The above-referenced Application and the other applications associated with the water-importation project should be denied since removing water from central, eastern and southern Nevada to Las Vegas Valley will adversely impact economic activity (current and future) of the water-losing area. Some of the economic impacts are as follows:
 - a. Agriculture: The combination of sunlight, water resources (ground water and geothermal sources), technology for intensified forms of agriculture, and growing markets (particularly in Las Vegas and Los Angeles) might create conditions for new agricultural development. A lack of water resources that can be developed would foreclose these additions to the economy of the region and the state:

- Fish farming using thermal springs
- Truck gardens or cotton crops
- Greenhouses for flowers or hydroponic vegetables, either alone or in conjunction with electric cogeneration plants.

In addition, the removal of ground water might damage the existing agricultural economy of the area by decreasing grazing available for cattle and sheep and decreasing crops like hay. Water rights are often gained by the purchase of agricultural land that has the water rights attached; then the purchaser takes the land out of agricultural production and removes the water to another, non-agricultural use. The three counties most affected by the granting of Las Vegas Valley Water District's applications—Nye, White Pine and Lincoln—had combined sales of cattle of over \$7,000,000 in 1987 and combined sales of other agricultural products of \$3,500,000 in the same year, according to the U.S. Department of Commerce. Removal of ground water could affect existing water sources for irrigating hay, and decrease forage available for cattle and sheep to the detriment of the agricultural segment of the economy of the three counties.

- b. Power Generation and Transmission: The removal of ground water could inhibit or preclude opportunities for power production, which generally uses water for cooling and in steam generation. The transmission lines developed to connect the White Pine and Thousand Springs Power Plants to the regional grid (with connection point in Henderson from White Pine), linked to electric-power-hungry markets in Las Vegas and southern California, might offer economic development potentials:
 - Production of electric power from geothermal sources could be connected to the transmission line for sales in the region or outside the state
 - Electric generation from locally produced natural gas or oil, or from natural gas from the Kern River Pipeline, could also be connected to the grid
 - Costs of solar power are declining and, under certain circumstances, are similar to other power production. Nevada's climate and open spaces, combined with access to a transmission line, could make solar-power production attractive.

Just as importantly, solar-, geothermal- and thermal-power production could provide inexpensive power for new dispersed activities in the three counties that are not now close enough to the electric grid for economic tie-in.

c. Mineral Extraction: Oil and natural gas offer major (though as yet highly uncertain) prospects. There is informed speculation that this area is the last major unexplored resource in the continental United States. Dwindling supplies elsewhere, in combination with reduction of imports, could produce important opportunities in Nevada. The development of other mineral resources is likely, and some could be of significant scale (e.g., Bond Gold), either as now, transported to linked industries, or as an attraction for colocation (see below).

Gold, however, is not the only mineral found in minable quantities and qualities in the region. Silver, molybdenum, and copper also are an important part of the economies of the three counties and so, to a lesser degree, is the extraction of mercury, fluorspar, calcium borate, zinc, lead and perlite. Each of these minerals is currently being produced in the region. As demand in the world changes for minerals, these and others may make important contributions to the region's and the state's economy. The effect on mining of removal of ground water from the region should be fully understood before the applications are approved.

- d. Manufacturing: Space-requiring industries (e.g., Aero-Jet, Southern California Aerospace, etc.), which are increasingly constrained in the Los Angeles metroplex, could choose locations in the Nevada desert, particularly if other infrastructure (rail, highways, electric power, water, etc.) were available. Those interested could include:
 - Manufacturers requiring Nevada's clean air or large expanses of uninhabited land
 - Industry serving the U.S. Departments of Defense and Energy
 - Producers of gaming devices or photovoltaic equipment
 - Manufacturers dependent upon minerals extracted in Nevada, or serving those industries.
- e. Tourism: Though slow to develop, tourism and travel could increase between Interstate Highways 80 and 15. Development could include facilities such as attractions for those enjoying Nevada's laws on gaming, and health spas centered around thermal hot springs and Nevada's clean air and quiet, empty landscapes.

Geothermal wells deserve particular mention regarding tourism. The region has many documented geothermal sources with varying temperatures suitable for a variety of uses. It is widely believed that the extraction of ground water will decrease the flow of these springs before their potential is fully developed. The Japanese, for instance, especially enjoy thermal waters and often make them a part of their vacations as well as daily life; Europeans have flocked to health spas for centuries. It is possible that geothermal springs could be developed into a lucrative tourist attraction, but not if the ground water is so depleted that it reduces or eliminates geothermal sources.

Wildlife could also be adversely affected. The National Park Service, in a publication about outside threats to Death Valley, says that "Environmental impacts are probable to . . . Sunnyside/Kirch Wildlife Management Area, Railroad Valley wetlands areas, Key Pittman Wildlife Management Area, Pahranagat National Wildlife Refuge, and the Ash Meadows National Wildlife Refuge if the [LVVWD] applications are approved." Damage to or loss of wildlife areas could cause a decline in tourist visits to the region and prevent expansion.

An unpublished assessment of Las Vegas Valley Water District's project by Mike L. Baughman reports that the three counties "contained 275 [water-

related recreational] sites . . . estimated to support in excess of 700,000 resident recreation visitor days." Nevadans, as well as tourists from other areas, may mourn damage to these recreational sites.

- f. Concentration of Population: The state of Nevada should consider the important public-policy issues concerning dispersal of population, which are an inherent, if unspoken, part of the debate on appropriation of the region's water. Some of those issues are:
 - Whether foreclosure (because of insufficient water) of economic prospects outlined above preclude a more effectively and efficiently organized state of Nevada, from both an economic and a political point of view
 - Whether a large (\$1.5 billion) investment in infrastructure in rural Nevada could be used to encourage a growth pattern different from and superior to the current concentration in Reno and Las Vegas
 - Equity issues in the lack of representation of the state's rural population in state decision-making
 - Beneficial use of sparsely populated land areas.
- g. Interrelationships: Many of the economic potentials are interrelated to, and even dependent upon, each other:
 - If sufficient water is unavailable for electric-power generation, not only is electric power not produced and sold, but dispersed manufacturing or development of tourist attractions will not occur.
 - If the water table is lowered sufficiently to reduce or stop the flow of thermal springs, fish farming will not develop, and related industries such as manufacturing of packing materials or frozen-food packing plants will not be built
 - Without sufficient water for growth in residential use, even industries that use little or no water may be unable to locate in central and eastern Nevada. Any impact assessment that projected increases in population would trigger a requirement for additional water resources, a requirement that could not be met.

When water that has remained underground for 10,000 years is removed at a rate that is (even temporarily) faster than it can be recharged, that action will change the future of Nevada unalterably. It is critical that the decision-making process that concerns exporting water from rural to urban counties fully addresses the complex nature of a region's economic potentials.

29. Inasmuch as a water extraction and transbasin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse effects without further information and study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they may develop as a result of further information and study.

30. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to this Application and/or any application filed that is associated with the water-importation project and filed pursuant to N.R.S. 533.365.

51207 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000

199 Jul -6 PA:31

IN THE OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

PROTEST

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION NUMBER 54007

FILED BY the Las Vegas Valley Water District

ON October 17, 1989 TO APPROPRIATE THE	
Waters of Underground	·
whose occupation is Political Subdivision, State	Nevada, whose post office address is P.O. Box 1767, Tonopah, NV, 89049, e of Nevada, and protests the granting of Application Number 54007, filed on ater District to appropriate the waters of Underground situated in White Pine ons and on the following grounds, to wit:
See attached.	
THEREFORE the protestant requests that	the application by DENIED and that an order be entered for such relief as the
State Engineer deems just and proper.	Signed Herlen & Bekennen
	Stephen T. Bradhurst, Agent Address: P.O. Box 1510, Reno, NV 89505
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 6#	Sandia A Hadlock
	Notary Public State of Nevada SANDRA A. HADLOCK
	County of Washoe NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF NEVADA

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) protests water right applications 53947 through 54036, 54038 through 54066, 54068 through 54092, 54105, and 54106, of which this protest is a part, which were filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD). Granting the above applications would not be in the public interest and, in addition, would injure the Service's senior water rights.

The currently available information indicates that the impacts, both short and long term, which would result from withdrawal (extraction) of underground water as proposed by LVVWD, would adversely affect the water rights held by the Service and the water available to wildlife and plants in general.

The "underground source" of the water proposed to be appropriated by LVVWD will intercept the source of the water that now maintains the numerous springs, seeps, marshes, streams, and riparian and mesquite habitats that support the wildlife and plant resources including endangered and threatened species in the state of Nevada. These water resources are dependent on the ground water systems from which applicant proposes to tap.

The Service's mission is to conserve, protect, and enhance fish, wildlife, and their habitats for the continuing benefit of the American people. In southern Nevada, the Service manages four National Wildlife Refuges (NWR):

- Ash Meadows NWR. This refuge was established in June 1984 and comprises approximately 23,500 acres of spring-fed wetlands and alkaline desert uplands that provide habitat for numerous plants and animals found nowhere else in the world. Five species at the refuge are listed under the Endangered Species Act, and seven species are threatened. Twenty other species are candidates for listing.
- Desert National Wildlife Range. This refuge was established in 1936 and encompasses over 2,200 square miles. The most important objective is perpetuating the desert bighorn sheep and its habitat. Dependable, year-round water sources located throughout bighorn habitat enable the sheep to use all available habitat which reduces competition for food, cover, water, and space. The Corn Creek Spring ponds on the refuge are the home of the endangered Pahrump poolfish.
- Moapa NWR. This refuge was established in 1979 to secure habitat for the Moapa dace, an endangered minnow endemic to the headwaters of the Muddy River. Historically, the dace was common throughout the headwaters of the Muddy River but in the last decade populations have declined sharply due to habitat destruction and alterations and competition with introduced non-native species.

 Pahranagat NWR. This refuge was established in 1964 to provide a stopping point for waterfowl and other migratory birds as they migrate south in the fall and back north in the early spring. These waterfowl are attracted by the refuge's 5,380 acres of marshes, open water, native grass meadows, and cultivated croplands. The refuge is the home of the endangered bald eagle and five candidate species.

These four southern Nevada refuges support migratory birds, endangered and threatened species, and other plant and wildlife species. Loss of sufficient water supply to the refuges would eliminate or degrade critical wildlife habitat and could eliminate some or all of the migratory birds, endangered and threatened species, and other wildlife the refuges have been established to protect. This would defeat the very purposes of the refuges and interfere with the Service's mandated responsibilities under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, 16 U.S.C § 703 et seq., (MBTA) and the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973, 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq., among other federal laws. Reducing the refuges' water supply through approval of the applications could also constitute violations of the ESA and MBTA.

In addition to the endangered and threatened species found on the refuges, endangered and threatened species are found at numerous other sites in southern Nevada. Significantly reducing water supplies at these locations would also adversely affect these species. The preamble to the Endangered Species Act states that endangered and threatened species of fish, wildlife and plants . . . "are of aesthetic, ecological, educational, historical, recreational and scientific value to the Nation and its people." Congress, through enactment of the Endangered Species Act, has clearly expressed a national public interest in preserving endangered and threatened plant and animal species.

The Service also has water rights for surface and ground water at each of the four southern Nevada National Wildlife Refuges. Approval of the applications would significantly reduce the water available at the refuges and injure the Service's water rights.

The Fish and Wildlife Service strongly urges the State Engineer to undertake a comprehensive study of the environmental impacts to southern Nevada that the withdrawing of approximately 860,000 acre-feet of water, the amount applied for by the Las Vegas Valley Water District, would have on the hydrologically connected basins in this area of the state prior to approving any of the applications.

IN THE OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION NUMBER 54007 FILED BY LAS VEGAS VALLEY WATER DISTRICT ON OCTOBER 17, 1989, TO APPROPRIATE THE WATERS OF UNDERGROUND

PROTEST

Comes now Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service, whose post office address is 301 S. Howes Street, Room 353, Fort Collins, Colorado, 80521, whose occupation is Chief, Water Rights Branch, Water Resources Division, National Park Service, and protests the granting of Application Number 54007, filed on October 17, 1989, by Las Vegas Valley Water District to appropriate the water of Underground Basin 184, SPRING VALLEY, situated in WHITEPINE County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons and on the following grounds, to wit:

See Exhibits A through B attached.

THEREFORE the protestant requests that the application be denied (See Exhibit C, attached).

Signed Collinary Agent or protestant
Owen R. Williams Printed or typed name, if agent
Address 301 South Howes St., Room 353 Street No. or P.O. Box No.
Fort Collins, CO 80521 City, State and Zip Code No.
Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 5 th day of July , 1990.
Notary Public State of Colorado
County of Larimer
My Commission expires $\frac{3/10/9/}{}$.

apri

The right (EXHIBIT A

Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

I. The mission of the National Park Service (NPS) may be paraphrased from 16.U.S.C. I as conserving the scenery, natural and historic objects, and wildlife, and providing for enjoyment of the same in such a manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations. Great Basin National Park (Great Basin NP) was created by Congressional Act in 1986, "...to preserve for the benefit and inspiration of the people a representative segment of the Great Basin of the Western United States possessing outstanding resources and significant geologic and scenic values...".

Water resources at Great Basin NP include lakes, streams, springs, seeps, and ground water. Associated with these are various water-related resource attributes. Two examples are described. (1) Pine and Ridge Creeks which headwater within Great Basin NP and flow into Spring Valley, provide habitat for the Bonneville Cutthroat trout (Oncorhynthus clarki Utah): This fish species is considered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service as a candidate species for threatened status under the Endangered Species Act, and is listed by the Nevada Department of Wildlife as a state sensitive species. (2) In addition to Lehman Caves, discussed in more detail in II. below, there are approximately 30 known caves within Great Basin NP. There may well be cave systems within Great Basin NP which have not yet been discovered. Ground water is important in maintaining cave features and is thought to play an important role in cave ecology.

The public interest will not be served if water and water-related resources in the nationally important Great Basin NP are diminished or impaired as a result of the appropriation proposed by this application.

II. In the legislation establishing Great Basin NP, Congress explicitly excluded the establishment of any new Federal reserved water right, but stated that the United States was entitled to reserved rights associated with the initial establishment and withdrawal of Humboldt National Forest and Lehman Caves National Monument. The priority dates for these reserved rights are the dates of initial establishment of national forest lands and Lehman Caves National Monument, and are senior to the appropriation sought by this application. These reserved rights have not been judicially quantified.

Ground water plays an important role in maintaining the features of Lehman Caves. The caves contain living limestone formations, such as stalactites, stalagmites, plate-like shields, cave coral, rimstone dams,

EXHIBIT A (Continued)

Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

curling helicities, flowstone, and draperies. However, little is known about the ecology of the caves and the role played by water.

If the diversion proposed by this application causes ground-water levels in the vicinity of Lehman Caves to drop and/or alters the direction of ground-water movement, ground-water flow in Lehman Caves will be reduced or eliminated. The senior NPS reserved water rights, water resources, and water-related resource attributes will thus be impaired.

III. The NPS holds a water right to Cave Springs (proof 01065), with a priority date of 1890, which was decreed October 1, 1934. By Application Number 20794, Certificate Record No. 7573, the point of diversion, manner and place of use were changed. The point of diversion is within the SWI/4 NEI/4 Sec. 9, T13N R69E, MDBM. This right provides water for the current visitor center, picnic area, maintenance area, trailer dump station, and park housing; and for the watering of lawns and a historic orchard.

If the diversion proposed by this application causes ground-water levels in the vicinity of Cave springs to drop and/or alters the direction of ground-water movement, ground-water flow to Cave Springs will be reduced or eliminated. The senior NPS water right for Cave Springs will thus be impaired:

IV. Located near the town of Baker, in the E1/2 NW1/4 Sec. 9 T13N R70E, MDBM, is an administrative site on public domain land which was withdrawn from entry for use by the United States Forest Service (USFS). The NPS currently uses the site as a ranger station, office and residence, with water supplied by a well developed when the USFS occupied the site.

This site is under consideration for development by the NPS in the General Management Plan for Great Basin NP, a draft of which is scheduled for release in January 1991. The site would likely include administrative offices, a park maintenance facility, and residences for park staff including up to 6 single-family dwellings and an apartment unit housing 30 people. Adequate facilities of this kind are vital to the protection and management of the nationally important Great Basin NP for the benefit and inspiration of the people.

By virtue of the primary USFS withdrawal still in effect for this site, the United States has Federal reserved water rights for the purposes of the withdrawal, which include use as a ranger station with supporting

EXHIBIT A (Continued)

Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

upon which land was withdrawn for use by the USFS. These reserved rights are the dates rights have not been judicially quantified.

The United States also holds a portion of proof 01066, assigned on June 29, 1945. Proof 01066 is a water right decreed on October 1, 1934. The United States entitlement to this right is 0.38 cubic feet per second in summer and 0.13 cubic feet per second in winter.

If the water supply for this administrative site is diminished or impaired as a result of the appropriation proposed by this application, the public interest will not be served and the United States senior Federal reserved and decreed water rights will be impaired.

As mentioned in item IV. above, the NPS is preparing a General Management Plan for Great Basin NP, scheduled for release in January 1991. The plan contemplates the construction of a visitor center in Great Basin NP, to be located between Baker and Lehman Creeks, within T14N R69E, MDBM. It is anticipated that the water supply for the new visitor center will be from a well. As the Baker and Lehman Creek stream system is not presently within a designated ground-water basin and the plan has not yet been finalized, the NPS has not applied for a water right permit.

If this application and Las Vegas Valley Water District's (LVVWD) other applications within Snake Valley and Spring Valley Basins are approved, there will be no water available for future appropriations. The new facilities planned for Great Basin NP are for the benefit and inspiration of the people. In addition, the park attracts tourists to the area and is important to the local economy. Thus, it would not be in the public interest to approve this and other applications within Snake Valley and Spring Valley Basins:

VI. The diversion proposed by this application is located in the carbonate-rock province of Nevada. The carbonate-rock province is typified by complex interbasin regional flow systems that include both basin-fill and carbonate-rock aquifers (Harrill, et al., 1988, Sheet 1). Ground water flows along complex pathways through basin-fill aquifers, carbonate-rock aquifers, or both, from one basin to another. Ground-water flow system boundaries, and thus interbasin ground-water flows, are poorly defined for most of the carbonate-rock province (Harrill, et al., 1988, Sheet 1).

EXHIBIT A (Continued)

Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior,
National Park Service

The proposed diversion is located in Snake Valley or Spring Valley.

Great Basin NP encompasses part of the Snake Range which separates the two valleys. Lehman Caves and the administrative site near Baker, Nevada, are along the eastern flank of the range. Part of the range is composed of carbonate rocks which have been strongly deformed by folding and repetitive faulting. Some water is transmitted through pore space in the carbonate rock. However, connected solution cavities and fractures in the carbonate rock provide conduits for more rapid transmission of ground water.

The basin-fill and carbonate-rock aquifers in Snake, Hamlin, and Spring Valleys are part of a regional ground-water flow system which discharges in the Great Salt Lake Desert (Hood and Rush, 1965; Dettinger, 1989; and Harrill, et al., 1988, Sheet 2). A regional ground-water potential map prepared by Harrill, et al. (1988, Figure 5, Sheet 1), indicates general regional ground-water movement from Spring Valley to Snake Valley.

Rush and Kazmi (1965) estimated that about 4,000 acre-feet of ground water per year flows from Spring Valley to Hamlin Valley through the carbonate rocks in the Snake Range separating these two valleys. Ground water beneath Hamlin Valley is discharged into aquifers beneath Snake Valley (Hood and Rush, 1965, Plate 1; Harrill, et al., 1988, Sheet 2). The quantity of discharge is only a rough estimate, and may be much larger or smaller. Where carbonate rocks separate Spring Valley and Snake Valley, other potential areas for the movement of ground water between Spring and Snake Valleys occurred.

Available scientific literature is not adequate to reasonably assure that the ground-water appropriation proposed by this application will not impact water resources and water-related resources of Great Basin NP and the United States senior water rights. Scientific literature does indicate, however, that the aquifers beneath Hamlin, Snake, and Spring Valleys are hydraulically connected. Large diversions, such as that proposed by this application, may impact the water resources of Great Basin NP and the United States water rights in Snake and Spring valleys.

- VII. Besides this application, the LVVWD has submitted 18 additional applications to appropriate ground water in Basin 184, SPRING VALLEY (Exhibit B).
 - A. Diversions proposed by these applications would be about 91282 acre-feet per year.

A DEPOSITION EXHIBIT A (Continued)

Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

- B. As of December 1988, committed diversions of 35800 acre-feet per year and an estimated perennial yield of 100000 acre-feet per year were reported for Basin 184, SPRING VALLEY (Nevada Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, 1988).
- C. The sum of the committed diversions and the diversions proposed by the LVVWD applications in this basin exceeds the estimated recharge of 75000 acre-feet per year (Harrill, et al., 1988, Sheet 2; Eakin et al., 1976) by 52082 acre-feet per year and the estimated perennial yield by 27082 acre-feet per year.

An overdraft of ground-water resources is expected to occur. The overdraft will cause ground-water levels to decline, alter the direction of ground-water flow, dry up playas, reduce or eliminate spring and stream flows, and cause land subsidence and fissuring. The cumulative effects of these diversions in this basin are expected to cause impacts at Great Basin NP and at the administrative site near Baker, Nevada, to occur more quickly and/or to a greater degree than diversions under this application alone. The diversions proposed by LVVWD in this basin exceed the water available for appropriation. The impacts described above, are not in the public interest.

- VIII. It should be noted also, that the LVVWD has submitted 28 applications which propose the appropriation of 196 cubic feet per second (141994 acre-feet per year) of ground water from the aquifers beneath Snake Valley and Spring Valley Basins (Exhibit B). The diversions proposed by LVVWD in these basins exceed the water available for appropriation. The cumulative effects of these diversions is expected to cause the impacts described in VII. above, to appear more quickly and/or to a greater degree than diversions within the subject ground-water basin, or under this application alone. This conclusion is supported by the following.
- A. Harrill, et al. (1988, sheet 2) show an estimated ground-water recharge of 177000 acre-feet per year for the Spring Valley, Hamlin Valley, and Snake Valley Basins. This estimate includes ground-water recharge for Basin 194, Pleasant Valley, Eakin, et al. (1976, Table 8) show an estimated ground-water recharge of 129000 acre-feet per year for these basins.
 - B. As of December 1988, the latest available estimate of committed diversions for the basins was 41535 acre-feet per year (Nevada Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, 1988).

EXHIBIT A (Continued)

The Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

- C. The sum of the committed diversions and the diversion rate proposed by the applications in these basins—183529 acre-feet per year-exceeds the estimated recharge rate shown by Harrill, et al., (1988, Sheet 2) by 6529 acre-feet per year, and the estimated recharge rate shown by Eakin, et al., (1976, Table 8) by 54529 acre-feet per year.
- IX. In this application, the point(s) of discharge for return flow (treated effluent) has or have not been specified. However, the possibility exists that the return flow may be discharged into a hydrologic basin other than the basin of origin. This being the case, depletions to ground-water basins tributary to aquifers beneath Snake and Spring valleys, and hence impacts to Great Basin NP (including Lehman Caves) and the water supply for the administrative site, will occur more quickly and/or in greater magnitude if return flow (or treated effluent) is not discharged in the basin of origin.

11 10 5

- X. According to NRS 533.060, "Rights to the use of water shall be limited and restricted to so much thereof as may be necessary, when reasonably and economically used for irrigation and other beneficial purposes..." Further, NRS 533.070 states that "The quantity of water from either a surface or underground source which may hereafter be appropriated in this state shall be limited to such water as shall reasonably be required for the beneficial use to be served." Implicit in these statements is a prohibition against waste and unreasonable use of water. It is unclear whether the quantity of water contemplated by this application, individually and in combination with applications 53947 through 54036, 54038 through 54066, 54068 through 54076, 54105, and 54106 by the LVVWD, is necessary and is an amount reasonably required for municipal and domestic purposes. Past open and notorious practices would indicate otherwise.
 - XI. The application does not clearly indicate the place of use, the description of proposed works, estimated cost of works, number and type of units to be served, or annual consumptive use. Nor, as described in X. above, is it clear that the appropriation sought is necessary and is in an amount reasonably required for the beneficial use to be served. Therefore, the application is defective and should be summarily rejected by the State Engineer.
 - XII. In sum, the NPS protests the granting of Application Number 54007, submitted by the LVVWD to appropriate and divert ground water, on the following grounds.

EXHIBIT A (Continued)

Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

- A. The public interest will not be served if water and water-related resources in the nationally important Great Basin NP are diminished or impaired as a result of the appropriation proposed by this application.
- B. If the diversion proposed by this application causes ground-water levels in the vicinity of Lehman Caves to drop and/or alters the direction of ground-water movement, ground-water flow in Lehman Caves will be reduced or eliminated. The senior NPS reserved water rights will thus be impaired.
- C. If the diversion proposed by this application causes ground-water levels in the vicinity of Cave springs to drop and/or alters the direction of ground-water movement, ground-water flow to Cave Springs will be reduced or eliminated. The senior NPS water rights for Cave Springs will thus be impaired.
 - D. If the water supply for the administrative site near Baker, Nevada, is diminished or impaired as a result of the appropriation proposed by this application, the public interest will not be served and the United States senior Federal reserved and decreed water rights will be impaired.
- E. If this application and LVVWD's other applications within Snake Valley and Spring Valley Basins are approved, there may be no water available for future appropriations. Facilities at Great Basin NP for the benefit and inspiration of the people will not be possible without a dependable water supply. It is not in the public interest to approve this and other applications within Snake Valley and Spring Valley Basins.
 - F. Available scientific literature is not adequate to reasonably assure that the ground-water diversion proposed by this application will not impact the senior water rights of the United States at Great Basin NP and the administrative site near Baker, Nevada. The State Engineer will, therefore, be unable to make a determination that injury will not be manifest upon other water users, including the NPS.
 - G. The cumulative effects of the diversion proposed by this application and other applications within this basin (Exhibit B) will impair the senior water rights of the United States more quickly and/or to a greater degree than diversions under this

EXHIBIT A (Continued)

Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

Selvania (n. 1920). Endergan

and the first of

and the second of the second o

Rint 10 harmin

application alone. The diversions proposed by LVVWD in this basin exceed the water available for appropriation. These impacts are not in the public interest. Commission of the

- The cumulative effects of the diversion proposed by this application and other applications in Basins 184 and 196 will ୍ର ଓଡ଼ିଆ ହେଉଁ ଓଡ଼ିଆ । ଆ**ଟ୍ରେମ୍ବର** ପ୍ରମାନ impair the senior water rights of the United States more quickly and/or to a greater degree than diversions within the subject refor temperature ground-water basin, or under this application alone. The diversions proposed by LVVWD in these basins exceed the water available for appropriation. E CAN THE ME TO SERVE
- Depletions to ground-water basins tributary to aquifers beneath Snake and Spring valleys, and hence impacts to Great Basin NP (including Lehman Caves) and the water supply for the administrative site, will occur more quickly and/or in greater magnitude if return flow (or treated effluent) is not discharged in Jan Dar Bar Dar Co the basin of origin. The state of the basin of origin.
- ting to just the It is unclear whether the quantity of water claimed by this application, individually and in combination with applications 53947 through 54036, 54038 through 54066, 54068 through 54076, 54105, and 54106, is necessary and is an amount reasonably required for municipal and domestic purposes. sr FanH.
- Sign of all the Kin The application does not clearly indicate the place of use, the description of proposed works, estimated cost of works, number and type of units to be served or annual consumptive use. Nor is it clear that the appropriation sought is necessary and is in an amount reasonably required for the beneficial use to be served. Therefore the application is defective and should be summarily rejected by the State Engineer. have made as
- XIII. The NPS reserves the right to amend this exhibit as more information becomes available.

(4) The company of the second of the seco

EXHIBIT B

Protest by Owen R. Williams on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service

The following applications were submitted by the Las Vegas Valley Water District for appropriations in Basins 184 and 195 (Nevada Division of Water Resources, 1990).

Appli- cation Basin no. no.	n Basin Name		Proposed diversion rate, ft ³ /s
54003 184 54004 184 54005 184 54006 184 54007 184 54009 184 54010 184 54011 184 54012 184 54012 184 54013 184 54014 184 54015 184 54015 184 54016 184 54017 184 54017 184 54018 184 54019 184 54021 184 54021 184 54021 185 54022 195 54023 195 54024 195 54027 195 54028 195 54029 195 54030 195	SPRING VALLEY SNAKE VALLEY		6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
		Total	196

CARRY OF COLUMN SEXHIBIT C

Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of Interior, National Park Service

The National Park Service (NPS) requests that the application be denied. Further, mone of the information which follows should be construed to indicate that the NPS asks for anything less than denial of the application.

If the application is approved, the NPS requests the following.

I. The NPS does not wish to impede any legitimate ground-water development in the State of Nevada, which will not impair the senior water rights, water resources and water-related resource attributes of Great Basin National Park (Great Basin NP) and the administrative site near Baker, Nevada. However, reports by Hood and Rush (1965), Rush and Kazmi (1965), Harrill, et al. (1988, Sheet 1), and Dettinger (1989) indicate that Basins 184, 185, 195, and 196 are hydraulically connected. Therefore, the NPS requests that the State Engineer establish the above-listed ground-water basins as one designated ground-water basin.

The designation would assist in protecting the interests of the NPS, the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD), the people of the United States, and the people of the State of Nevada. If this request is denied, the NPS requests that the State Engineer establish the above-mentioned basins as separate designated ground-water basins.

- II. The NPS further requests that, if the application is approved, the permit be conditioned by the following.
 - A. The LVVWD shall conduct a scientific ground-water investigation of basin-fill, volcanic, and carbonate-rock aquifers to determine the hydrologic relationship between Basin 184, SPRING VALLEY, and the water resources of Great Basin NP and the administrative site near Baker, Nevada.
 - B. The LVVWD shall establish and operate a long-term monitoring program designed to detect any potential impacts to water resources of Great Basin NP and the administrative site near Baker, Nevada, directly or indirectly incident to the appropriation described by the application.
 - C. The LVVWD plans for monitoring and investigating ground-water resources shall be subject to the approval of the NPS and the State Engineer and shall include quality assurance protocol acceptable to the above-mentioned parties.

☼ ¡¡EXHIBIT C (Continued)

Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of the Interior. National Park Service

- to a first and the tipe of the second of stance is on to D. .. The LVVWD shall quarterly, or at another mutually acceptable frequency, provide all data collected and analyses completed to the NPS and the State Engineer.
 - ភូមិសាសាស្រី **១៧**៥ នាន់**១៨២១**។ នៅទី១១។ ១១១១ ភូមិសាស្រី ១១៣ ១១៣ ១៩១ ១៩ ១១ ១៩១១ The LVVWD shall cease pumping ground water, or reduce the level of E. the pumping to the no impactalevel, in the event that analyses by the NPS or the State Engineer create a reasonable expectation that the senior water rights of the United States at Great Basin NP and/or the administrative site near Baker, Nevada, will be impaired by pumping permitted under this application. A Pumping
- INI: The NPS reserves the right to amend this exhibit as more information െ നാർടെ അടി ഹാഗ് becomes available: മെ ഉണ്ട് അവ വഴ വിവസം

ម៉ាន់ស្តេច ប្រធានជាសាល់ស្ត្រី មន្ត្រី ១០០០ មនុស្ស ១១១ ខ្លួន ១៩៤៤ ស្ថាល់ និស្សិត និស្សិក ប្រុស្តាល់ និស្សិក ខ្លួន ស្រុក ស្រុស្តិ

The Property of the State of th

ិត្តនៅ ប៉ុន្តិក្រុម ប្រជុំ សាក់ សមាការប្រជុំ ប្រកាស្លាក់ ប្រជុំ ប្រជុំ ប្រជាពេលប្រជុំ ប្រជុំ ប្រជុំ

វិទា មាន ខ្លាំខែនោះ មា**ក់ទេ១១៤ ១៧១០**១ខ្លាំង ការប្រជាជនជាង ១០០០០១០១៤ ខណៈ ប្រើប្រែក ប្រើប្រែក ប្រើប្រែក ប្រែការប្រ ប្រាក្សា មានប្រជាពលរដ្ឋ ខណៈ សមាទី មានបានប្រាក់ពេក សមាស្រីក្រុម ក្រុម ប្រជាពលរដ្ឋ ប្រជាពលរដ្ឋ បានប្រជាពលរដ្ឋ បា with one office alternation in the property of the content of the content of the first of the content of the co ကြောင်း လေရှိနှင့် ရေးမှန်တွင်တည်။ လေရှိသည်။ ကြောင်းသည် သို့နေတွင် ရေးမရှိသည်။ အချင်းသည်။ အချင်းသည်။ အချင်းသည် မြောင်းသည်။ လေရှိနှင့် လေရှိသည်။ အချင်းသည်။ ကြောင်းသည် သို့နေတွင် သည် မြောင်းသည်။ ကြောင်းသည်။ အချင်းသည်။ အချင်

Commence of the second second

COME AND GOTO THE PORCE OF A

ovaroežinom kr**ej-gro**n **e** vrokogu bal aktižejou iljedici iljedici s

1

Carte Court of

The district of the second

REFERENCES CITED

Protest by Owen R. Williams, on behalf of the United States Department of Interior, National Park Service

Dettinger, M.D., 1989. Distribution of carbonate-rock aquifers in southern Nevada and the potential for their development, Summary of Findings, 1985-88: Program for the Study and Testing of Carbonate-Rock Aquifers in Eastern and Southern Nevada Summary Report No. 1, 37 p.

Eakin, T.E., Price, D., and Harrill, J.R., 1976. Summary of the Nation's Ground-water Resources-Great Basin Region. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 813-G, pp. G1-G37.

Harrill, J.R., Gates, J.S., and Thomas, J.M., 1988. Major ground-water flow systems in the Great Basin region of Nevada, Utah, and adjacent states: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Investigations Atlas HA-694-C, 2 sheets.

Hood, J.W., and Rush, F.E., 1965. Water-resources appraisal of the Snake Valley area, Utah and Nevada: Utah State Engineer Technical Publication 14, 43 p.

Nevada Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, 1988. Hydrographic Basin Statistical Summary, Ground Water Basins 001-232: unpublished report, Division of Water Resources and Water Planning, Carson City, Nevada.

Nevada Division of Water Resources, 1990. Abstract of Filings of Las Vegas Valley Water District, dated May 9, 1990.

Rush, F.E., and Kazmi, S.A.T., 1965. Water resources appraisal of Spring Valley, White Pine, and Lincoln Counties, Nevada: Nevada Department of Conservation and Natural Resources Water Resources Reconnaissance Series Report 33, 36 p.

90 JL-6 P3:54

IN THE OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

In the Matter of Application Number 5400)7	
FRED BY LAS Vegas Valley Water D	PROTEST	RECEIVED
ON October 17, 1989, TO APPROPRIA	ATE THE	
WATERS OF 184-5A, SPRING VAL. WP	· MA	JUL 0 5 1990
		Div. of Water Resources Branch Office - Las Yegas, NV
Comes now The Unincorporated	Printed or typed name of p	rotestant
whose post office address is P.O. Box 3140	Street No. or P.O. Box, C	'Ada, 89041 ity, State and Zip Code
whomen pations holds the trust i		
of Application Number 54007, file		.9, 19.89
by Las Vegas Valley Water Dist	ed name of applicant	to appropriate the
waters of BASIN NO. 184-5A, SPRIN Underground or name of stream, lake,	G VALLEY spring or other source	situated in WHITE PINE
County, State of Nevada, for the following reason		
(SEE ADI	DENDUM)	

	***************************************	***************************************
	~·····································	·
	700 HT (200 HT	
THEREFORE the protestant requests that the	application be D	ENIED
and that an order be entered for such relief as the	(Denie	ed, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case may be)
or del de differed for Sucil Teller as ti	ie State Engineer deems	s just and proper.
5	Signed 19 la	w Ellen
· ·	Marvin Veneman	Agent or protestant , Town Board Chairman
	P Address P.O. Box	vinted or typed name, if agent 3140
	Pahrump.	Street No. or P.O. Box No.
·		City, State and Zip Code No.
	_	
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 29	day of	<u> 19 90</u>
	,Õ,	1
- -		n Kowley I
s	state of	
C	County of	Notery Public-State Of Nevada
		IRIS M ROWLAND My Commission Expires
	;	April 23. 1984

\$10 FILING FEE MUST ACCOMPANY PROTEST. PROTEST MUST BE FILED IN DUPLICATE. ALL COPIES MUST CONTAIN ORIGINAL SIGNATURE.

2454 (Revised 6-10)

"ADDENDUM"

THE UNINCORPORATED TOWN OF PAHRUMP PROTEST THE AFOREMENTIONED APPLICATION FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS AND ON THE FOLLOWING GROUNDS. TO WIT:

- 1. This Application is one of 146 applications filed by the Las Vegas Valley Water District seeking a combined appropriation of some 864,195 acre feet of ground and surface water primarily for municipal use in Clark County. Diversion and export of such a quantity of water will deprive the area of origin of the water needed to protect and enhance its environment and economic well being, and the diversion will unnecessarily destroy environmental, ecological, scenic and recreational values that the State holds in trust for all its citizens.
- 2. The granting or approving of the subject Application in the absence of comprehensive planning, including but not limited to environmental impact considerations, cost considerations, socioeconomic impact considerations, and a water resource plan (such as is required by the Public Service Commission of private purveyors of water) for the Las Vegas Valley Water District Service area is detrimental to the public welfare in interest.
- 3. The approval of the subject application will sanction and encourage the willful waste of water that has been allowed, if not encouraged, by the Las Vegas Valley Water District.
- 4. The subject Application seeks to develop and transport water resources on and across lands of the United States under the jurisdiction of the United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management. This Application should be denied because the Las Vegas Valley Water District has not obtained the necessary legal interest (e.g., right-of-way) in the federal land such that the applicant may extract, develop and transport water resources from the proposed point of diversion to the proposed place of use.
- 5. The Application should be denied because it individually and comulatively with other applications of the water importation project will perpetuate and may increase the inefficient use of water in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area and frustrate efforts at water demand management in the Las Vegas Valley Water District service area.
- 6. The Las Vegas Valley Water District lacks the financial capability for developing and transporting water under the subject permit which is a prerequisite to putting the water to beneficial use.
- 7. The above-referenced Application should be denied because it fails to include the statutory required:
 - (a) Description of the place of use;
 - (b) Description of the proposed works;
 - (c) The estimated costs of such works; and
 - (d) The estimated time required to put the subject water to beneficial use.
- 8. The Application cannot be granted because the applicant has failed to provide information to enable the State Engineet to safeguard the public interest properly. The adverse effects of this Application and related applications associated with the proposed water appropriation and transportation project (largest appropriation of ground water in the history of the State of Nevada) cannot properly be evaluated without an in-

dependent, formal and publicly-reviewable assessment of:

- (a) cumulative impacts of the proposed extraction;
- (b) mitigation measures that will reduct the impacts of the proposed extraction;
- (b) alternatives to the proposed extraction, including but not limited to, the alternatives of no extraction and aggressive implementation of all proven and cost-effective water demand management strategies.
- 9. The subject Application should be denied because the population projections upon which the water demand projections are based are unrealistic and ignore numerous constraints to infrastructure and services, degraded air quality, etc.
- 10. The granting of approval of the above-referenced Application would be detrimental to the public interest and not made in good faith since it would allow the Las Vegas Valley Water District to lock up vital water resources for possible use sometime in the distant future beyond current planning horizons.
- 11. The subject Application should be denied because current and developing trends in housing, landscaping, national plumbing fixture standards and demographic patterns all suggest that the simplistic water demand forecasts upon which the proposed transfers are based substantially overstate future water demand needs.
- 12. Inasmuch as a water extraction and transbasin conveyance project of this magnitude has never been considered by the State Engineer, it is therefore impossible to anticipate all potential adverse affects without further information and study. Accordingly, the protestant reserves the right to amend the subject protest to include such issues as they may develop as a result of further information and study.
- 13. We, the Town of Pahrump know first hand the economic hardship caused by over appropriation of water. Currently the growth of the Pahrump Valley is threatened because of technical over allocation of water. If the Las Vegas Valley Water District is allowed to obtain all remaining available water rights in the various water basins as they have requested, then all these areas will be growth stunted at their current levels. We protect the acquisitions that the Las Vegas Valley Water District has requested. The current request would destroy the economic and growth potential of each basin affected.
- 14. The undersigned additionally incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein and adopts as its own, each and every other protest to the subject Application filed pursuant to NSR 533.365.